

Some Results in Asymmetric Metric Spaces

Abdolmohammad Aminpour

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences and Computer, Shahid Chamran
University, Ahvaz, Iran
aminpour@scu.ac.ir

Sajad Khorshidvandpour

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences and Computer, Shahid Chamran
University, Ahvaz, Iran
sajad_khorshidvand@yahoo.com

Mohsen Mousavi

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences and Computer, Shahid Chamran
University, Ahvaz, Iran
Mmousavi88@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper, we recall some definition and theorem in asymmetric metric space and then prove some theorems and results in these spaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A15

Keywords: Asymmetric metric, Forward and backward limits

1. Introduction

Asymmetric metric spaces are defined as metric spaces, but without the requirement that the (asymmetric) metric d has to satisfy $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$.

In the realms of applied mathematics and materials science we find many recent applications of asymmetric metric spaces; for example, in

rate-independent models for plasticity [1], shape-memory alloys [2], and models for material failure [3].

There are other applications of asymmetric metrics both in pure and applied mathematics; for example, asymmetric metric spaces have recently been studied with questions of existence and uniqueness of Hamilton–Jacobi equations [4] in mind.

The study of asymmetric metrics apparently goes back to Wilson [5]. Following his terminology, asymmetric metrics are often called quasi-metrics. Author in [6] has discussed completely on asymmetric metric spaces.

In this work we prove some theorems in asymmetric metric spaces. We start with some elementary definitions from [6].

Definition 1.1. A function $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ is an *asymmetric metric* and

(X, d) is an *asymmetric metric space* if:

- (1) For every $x, y \in X$, $d(x, y) \geq 0$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ holds if and only if $x = y$,
- (2) For every $x, y, z \in X$, we have $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$.

Henceforth, (X, d) shall be an asymmetric metric space.

Example 1.2. Let $\alpha > 0$. Then $d: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ defined by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} x - y & x \geq y \\ \alpha(y - x) & y > x \end{cases}$$

is obviously an asymmetric metric.

Definition 1.3. The *forward topology* τ_+ induced by d is the topology generated by the *forward open balls*

$$B^+(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\} \quad \text{for } x \in X, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Likewise, the *backward topology* – induced by d is the topology generated by the *backward open balls*

$$B^-(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : d(y, x) < \varepsilon\} \quad \text{for } x \in X, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Definition 1.4. A sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ *forward converges* to $x_0 \in X$, respectively *backward converges* to $x_0 \in X$ if and only if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_0, x_k) = 0, \quad \text{respectively} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_k, x_0) = 0.$$

Then we write $x_k \xrightarrow{f} x_0$, $x_k \xrightarrow{b} x_0$ respectively.

Example 1.5. Let (X, d) be an asymmetric space, where d is as in

example 1.2. It is easy to show that the sequence $\left\{x + \frac{1}{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ($x \in X$)

is both forward and backward converges to x .

Definition 1.6 Suppose (X, d_x) and (Y, d_y) are asymmetric metric

spaces. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. We say f is *forward continuous* at $x \in X$, respectively *backward continuous*, if, for every

$\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $y \in B^+(x, \delta)$ implies $f(y) \in B^+(f(x), \varepsilon)$, respectively $f(y) \in B^-(f(x), \varepsilon)$.

However, note that uniform forward continuity and uniform backward continuity are the same.

Definition 1.7. A set $S \subseteq X$ is *forward compact* if every open cover of

\mathcal{S} in the forward topology has a finite subcover. We say that \mathcal{S} is *forward relatively compact* if $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ is forward compact, where

$\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ denotes the closure in the forward topology. We say \mathcal{S} is *forward*

sequentially compact if every sequence has a forward convergent subsequence with limit in \mathcal{S} . Finally, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq X$ is *forward complete* if every forward Cauchy sequence is forward convergent.

Note that there is a corresponding backward definition in each case, which is obtained by replacing 'forward' with 'backward' in each definition.

Lemma 1.8. *Let $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ be an asymmetric metric. If (X, d) is*

forward sequentially compact and $x_n \xrightarrow{b} x_0$ then $x_n \xrightarrow{f} x_0$.

Notation 1.9. We introduce some further notations. Y^X denotes the space of functions from X to Y . The *uniform metric* on Y^X is

$$\bar{\rho}(f, g) := \sup\{\bar{d}(f(x), g(x)) : x \in X\},$$

where $\bar{d}(x, y) := \min\{d(x, y), 1\}$ and d is the asymmetric metric associated with Y .

2 . Main Result

Throughout this section Let (X, d_x) and (Y, d_y) be asymmetric metric spaces.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Y be forward (backward) complete, then Y^X is so*

Proof . Let $\{f_n\} \subseteq Y^X$ be an arbitrary forward Cauchy sequence. By definition, given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq N$, $\bar{\rho}(f_n, f_m)$

< holds. Fix $x \in X$. Clearly, $\{f_n(x)\}$ is a forward Cauchy sequence in Y .

Since Y is forward complete so $\{f_n(x)\}$ is convergent, say $f_n(x) \xrightarrow{f} f(x)$.

Thus there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N$ implies

$$d_Y(f(x), f_n(x)) < \epsilon \quad (1)$$

Since $x \in X$ was arbitrary, taking sup on $x \in X$ in the both side of (1),

we deduce $f_n \xrightarrow{f} f$ in the uniform metric \bar{d} .

Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Y^X$ be a family of forward continuous functions.

Also, suppose that Y be forward complete and forward convergence implies backward convergence in Y . Then \mathcal{F} is forward complete.

Proof. Let $\{f_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ such that $f_n \xrightarrow{f} f$. Since Y^X is forward complete [lemma 2.1] and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Y^X$, so it is sufficient to show that $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for each $y \in X$ which $d(x, y) < \delta$ we have

$$d_Y(f_n(x), f_n(y)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

Also, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$d_Y(f(x), f_n(x)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

For all $n \geq N$. Since forward convergence implies backward convergence in Y , so

$$d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

Therefore

$$d(f(x), f(y)) = d_Y(f(x), f_n(x)) + d_Y(f_n(x), f_n(y)) + d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \varepsilon$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, so the proof is completed.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of forward continuous functions in Y^X which $f_n \xrightarrow{b} f$ uniform in the uniform metric $\bar{\rho}$ corresponding to d_Y . Also, let Y be forward sequentially compact. Then f is forward continuous.

Proof. Fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that for all $y \in X$ which $d(x, y) < \delta$,

$$d_Y(f_n(x), f_n(y)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

holds. Since $f_n \xrightarrow{b} f$ in the uniform metric $\bar{\rho}$, So $f_n \xrightarrow{b} f$. Hence, there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

For all $n \geq N_1$.

Y is forward sequentially compact. Thus lemma 1.8 implies $f_n \xrightarrow{f} f$. so there exists $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$d_Y(f(x), f_n(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$. Set $N := \{N_1, N_2\}$. Then for each $y \in X$ which $d(x, y) < \delta$ we have ($m, n \geq N$)

$$d(f(x), f(y))$$

$$d_Y(f(x), f_n(x)) + d_Y(f_n(x), f_m(x)) + d_Y(f_m(x), f_m(y)) + d_Y(f_m(y), f(y)) <$$

$$\varepsilon$$

As required.

Corollary 2.4. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of uniformly forward continuous functions in Y^X such that $f_n \xrightarrow{f} f$ in the uniform metric $\bar{\rho}$ corresponding to d_Y . If forward convergence implies backward convergence in Y , then f is uniformly forward continuous.

Proof. Fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ which $d(x, y) < \delta$ implies

$$d_Y(f_n(x), f_n(y)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

On the other hand, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\bar{\rho}(f, f_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to show that

$$d_Y(f(x), f_n(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. now by assumption we deduce

$$d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, if $d(x, y) < \delta$ then

$$d(f(x), f(y)) = d_Y(f(x), f_n(x)) + d_Y(f_n(x), f_n(y)) + d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \varepsilon$$

Which means f is uniformly forward continuous.

References

- [1] A. Mainik, A. Mielke, Existence results for energetic models for rate-independent systems, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 22(1)(2005) 73–99.
- [2] A. Mielke, T. Roubířek, A rate-independent model for inelastic behavior of shape-memory alloys, *Multiscale Model. Simul.* 1 (4) (2003) 571–597 (electronic).
- [3] M.O. Rieger, J. Zimmer, Young measure flow as a model for damage, Preprint 11/05, Bath Institute for Complex Systems, Bath, UK, 2005.
- [4] A. Mennucci, On asymmetric distances, Technical report, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2004.
- [5] W.A. Wilson, On quasi-metric spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* 53 (3) (1931) 675–684.
- [6] J. Collins, J. Zimmer, An asymmetric Arzela-Ascoli theorem, *Topology and its Applications.* 154(2007)2312-2322.

Received: June, 2012