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#### Abstract

-the main idea of this paper is to present application of stereo vision approach to determine position and orientation of end-effector of mechanical manipulators. Stereo vision is a technique based on inferring depth of an object from two cameras. A stereo vision test set up that is made of two parallel CMOS cameras is provided and USB port is used to transmit image data to the computer. Experimental tests are exerted for 6R mechanical manipulator where the end-effector moves on circular trajectory and Scout mobile robot to move a predefined optimal trajectory. The image processing algorithm, matching algorithm and triangulation calculations are optimized to decrease time required for matching procedure. The results illustrate that the measurement error is less than 1 mm . Because of rapid image processing algorithm; proposed method can be used to detect end-effector in dynamic trajectories. This stereo vision set up can be applied easily as measuring unit in a closed loop control system.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Determining end effector position for mechanical manipulators is an important key point in industrial applications. This problem can be considered as the process of determining the position and orientation of the end-effector of robotic manipulators with respect to a global frame. In recentyears, various methods and solutions have been proposed and applied to this problem. Earliest solution to this problem is to do relative position/orientation measurement using sensors such as odometer, or inertia measurement unit (IMU). Relative position measurement known as dead reckoning is the process of tracking the current position of end-effector based on the integration of the path that has been previously traveled by the end-effector [1]. The most common sensors are IMU that is made of accelerometers and gyroscopic rate sensors. IMU have the advantage of high frame-rate and relatively small latency, but only provide relative motion and are subject to significant drift (or significant cost). IMU sensors are often complemented by global positioning sensors (GPS) [2].

Among dead reckoning, odometry is probably the most used in mobile robots as it provides easy and cheap real time position information by the integration of incremental motion information over time. Unfortunately, this integration causes an accumulation of errors during the movement of the robot [3].

Another approach to the end-effector position measurement problem is using active beacons [1]. Measurement using active beacons has been traditionally used in the global positioning system (GPS) for the localization of ships and airplanes [1]. There are two types of active beacon systems: trilateration and triangulation [4, 5, and 6]. Trilateration is the determination of the end-effector position based on distance measurements to known beacon sources. In trilateration systems there are usually three or more transmitters mounted at known locations in the workspace and one receiver fixed onto the end-effector. An example of the active beacons localization system that makes use of trilateration is [7].

Triangulation is another way of determination of the robot end-effector position that is based on the measurements of the angle from the beacon to the end-effector or robot heading. The distance to at least one of beacons and its location must also be known. Similar to the trilateration method, three or more beacon readings must be obtained to do triangulation. Note that it is also possible to do triangulation with two beacons if the angles from these beacons to the end-effector or robot heading, the distances from these beacons to the robot, and the locations of these beacons are known [8]. An example of the triangulation with two beacons is the North Star kit [8]. Unlike dead reckoning, the errors in active beacons systems will not grow unbounded. However, the accuracy is highly dependent on the size of its random errors and precise placement of the beacons in the environment [1].

For the reason of disadvantages of relative measurement methods, sensors that provide a measure of absolute position are extremely important. These methods are named as absolute position measurements (reference-based systems). Magnetic compasses and vision systems [9, 10, and 11] are two approaches of this category. Chang [10] presented a vision-based navigation and localization system using two biologically-inspired scene understanding models which are studied from human visual capabilities: 1) Gist model which captures the holistic characteristics and layout of an image and 2) Saliency model which emulates the visual attention of primates to identify conspicuous regions in the Image.

The main idea of this paper is using stereo vision approach and proposes a simple triangulation approach for determining position and orientation using a simple and inexpensive setup. Also based on stereo vision, static and
dynamic tests are implemented for 6R manipulator to show accuracy and speed of computations of proposed approach. Stereo vision represents a way for reconstructing threedimensional information from the surrounding environment and is therefore of vital importance for a large number of vision applications [12]. Unfortunately, the key step in stereo vision is stereo matching problem, cannot be regarded as solved. Factors that complicate the matching process include image noise, untextured regions and the occlusion problem [12]. Two methods are used for matching process, local and global methods [13, 14]. In [15] an algorithm to detect depth discontinuities from a stereo pair of images is presented. The algorithm matches individual pixels in corresponding scan line pairs while allowing occluded pixels to remain unmatched, and then propagates the information between scan lines by means of a fast postprocessor. The algorithm handles large untextured regions, uses a measure of pixel dissimilarity that is insensitive to image sampling, and prunes bad search nodes to increase the speed of dynamic programming. The computation is relatively fast, taking about 1.5 microseconds per pixel per disparity on a workstation. Approximate disparity maps and precise depth discontinuities (along both horizontal and vertical boundaries) are shown for five stereo images.

## II. STEREO VISION

3D measurement approach based on human vision system is named stereo vision, but in this vision approach human eyes are replaced with a pair of slightly displaced parallel cameras. 2D position information of an object is determined using one camera and third coordinate of 3D position can be computed by comparing two images. This comparison is implemented by means of technique based on stereo vision approach that is technique aimed at inferring depth of object from two cameras. Using stereo vision, with two images one can infer depth by means of triangulation method if it is available to find corresponding (homologous) points in two images [16]. According to Fig. 1, the amount to which a single pixel is displaced in the two images is called disparity, so a pixel's disparity is inversely proportional to its depth in the scene. Before computing disparity, rectification process must be applied to remove lens distortions and stereo pair to be turned in standard form.


Figure 1. Relation between depth and disparity. [17]

The challenging part is to compute the disparity of each pixel and this task is known as the stereo matching problem. Two methods are used for matching process, local and global methods, local and global/semi global methods. Local algorithms use the simple WTA (Winner Takes All) disparity selection strategy but reduce ambiguity (increasing the signal to noise ratio (SNR)) by aggregating matching costs over a support window (aka kernel or correlation window).

Global (and semi-global) algorithms search for disparity assignments that minimize an energy function over the whole stereo pair using a pixel-based matching cost (sometime the matching cost is aggregated over a support) [16]. The Middlebury stereo evaluation site [18] provides a framework and a dataset for benchmarking novel algorithms. An overview of stereo vision approach is shown in Fig. 2.


Figure 2. Overview of stereo vision approach

## III. TRIANGULATION

Given the disparity of any pixel, triangulation procedure computes the position of the correspondence in the 3D space. Consider Fig. 3 that shows two images, object, image frame (local) and reference frame. Parameters that are shown in this figure are:

- OXYZ: Reference frame
- $\mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1} \mathrm{z}_{1}$ : Image frame of first camera
- $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{Z}_{2}$ : Image frame of second camera
- $F_{1}, F_{2}$ : focal lengths
- $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ : Rotation angles of optical axis
- $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{1}$ : Pixel coordinate in first image
- $\mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{v}_{2}$ : Pixel coordinate in second image

Transformation between object position in reference frame and each local frame can be mentioned as Eq. 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{T}=R T P^{T} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P$ and $M$ are local and global position of object and have following forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =[x, y, z, 1]  \tag{2}\\
M & =[X, Y, Z, 1] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Also $R$ and $T$ matrixes in Eq. 1 are rotation and translation matrixes, respectively and have following forms:

$$
\begin{gather*}
R=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos \beta & 0 & \sin \beta & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]  \tag{4}\\
T=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & X_{0} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & Y_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & Z_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 3. Coordinates in Stereo Vision [17]
In these equations $\beta$ is the rotation of optical axis of considered camera and $\left[X_{0}, Y_{0}, Z_{0}\right]$ is position of center of local frame in reference frame. Consider $F$ is focal length, perspective relations for any image can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x}{u}=-\frac{z-F}{F} ; \quad \frac{y}{v}=-\frac{z-F}{F} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing Eq. 6 into Eq. 1, for two images, 3D position of object in reference frame can be computed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& X=\frac{u_{1} F_{1}-m_{1} X_{01}-n_{1}\left(Z+Z_{01}\right)}{m_{1}} \\
& Y=v_{1}-Y_{01}-\frac{v_{1}}{F_{1}}\left[\left(X-X_{01}\right) \sin \beta_{1}+\left(Z+Z_{01}\right) \cos \beta_{1}\right] \\
& Z=\frac{m_{1} m_{2}\left(X-X_{01}\right)+m_{1} F_{2} u_{2}-m_{2} F_{1} u_{1}+m_{2} n_{1} Z_{01}-m_{1} n_{2} Z_{02}}{m_{1} n_{2}-m_{2} n_{1}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

New parameters in Eq. 7 are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{1}=F_{1} \cos \beta_{1}+u_{1} \sin \beta_{1} \\
& m_{2}=F_{2} \cos \beta_{2}-u_{2} \sin \beta_{2} \\
& n_{1}=u_{1} \cos \beta_{1}-F_{1} \sin \beta_{1} \\
& n_{2}=u_{2} \cos \beta_{2}+F_{2} \sin \beta_{2} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

[ $u_{i}, v_{i}$ ] is coordinate of object project into i-th image. If two cameras be parallel with $\beta=0$ then following simple relations
can be considered:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Y_{01}=Y_{02}=0 \\
\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=0 \\
F_{1}=F_{2}=F \\
\alpha+\beta=X_{01}=X_{(21)} \frac{B}{2} \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally Eq. 7 can be rewritten as follow:

$$
\begin{align*}
X & =\frac{F-Z}{F} u_{1}-\frac{B}{2} \\
\alpha+\beta & =\chi \cdot F-Z(1)  \tag{1}\\
Y & \stackrel{F}{F} v_{1} \\
Z & =F-\frac{B F}{u_{1}-u_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

$u_{1}-u_{2}$ in Eq. 10 is the difference between the $x$ coordinate of two corresponding pixels that was named disparity. The disparity of an object that is in infinity is equal to zero. According to above equations parameters that affect accuracy of measurement are:

- Accuracy of stereo correspondence
- Distance between cameras and end effector
- Initial calibration


## IV. END EFFECTOR ORIENTATION

According to triangulation method and using stereo vision setup one can calculate the position of three point of the robot end effector which are determine in Fig. 4 as $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$.

The direction vectors $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{y}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{z}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ can be represented as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\vec{x}_{b}=\frac{P_{2}-P_{1}}{\left\|P_{2}-P_{1}\right\|}  \tag{11}\\
\alpha+\not_{b} \equiv \frac{\left.\left.\left(P_{2}+P_{1}\right) \nmid\right) 2-P_{(11)}\right)}{\left\|\left(P_{2}+P_{1}\right) / 2-P_{3}\right\|}  \tag{12}\\
\vec{y}_{b}=\vec{z}_{b} \times \vec{x}_{b} \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Considering the rotation matrixes $R_{Z, \phi}, R_{Y, \theta}$ and $R_{X, \psi}$ for roll, pitch and yaw angles, rotation matrix between reference and body frames can be mentioned as below equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha+\beta=\chi
\end{array} \vec{x}_{b} \quad \vec{y}_{b} \quad \vec{z}_{b}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
(1) & { }^{(1)} \\
X_{x} & Y_{x} & Z_{x} \\
X_{y} & Y_{y} & Z_{y} \\
X_{z} & Y_{z} & Z_{z}
\end{array}\right]}  \tag{14}\\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{x} & Y_{x} & Z_{x} \\
X_{y} & Y_{y} & Z_{y} \\
X_{z} & Y_{z} & Z_{z}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{\phi} C_{\theta} & C_{\phi} S_{\theta} S_{\psi}-S_{\phi} C_{\psi} & C_{\phi} S_{\theta} S_{\psi}+S_{\phi} S_{\psi} \\
S_{\phi} C_{\theta} & S_{\phi} S_{\theta} S^{\prime}(1)-C_{\phi} C_{\psi} & S_{\phi} S_{\theta} C_{\psi}-C_{\phi} S_{\psi} \\
=S_{\theta} ._{\theta} & C_{\theta} S_{\psi} & C_{\theta} C_{\psi}
\end{array}\right]} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 4. three point on end effector
Where $C_{i}=\cos (i)$ and $S_{i}=\sin (i)$
Therefore the roll, pitch and yaw angles of the robot endeffector are determined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi=A \tan 2\left(\frac{X_{y}}{X_{x}}\right) \\
& \theta=A \tan 2\left(\frac{-X_{z}}{\sqrt{1-X_{z}^{2}}}\right) \\
& \psi=A \tan 2\left(\frac{Y_{z}}{Z_{z}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

## V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

## A. 6R manipulator

An experimental setup made of two cameras that are shown in Fig. 5 is used to implement stereo vision approach to measure end-effector position of 6R manipulator. Characteristics of cameras are mentioned in table 1 and a view of 6R manipulator is shown in Fig. 6. Also DenavitHartenberg parameters of this manipulator are listed in table 2.

A red LED is moved on a linear path according to (17) and measured path andx, $y$ and $z$ histories are displayed on Figs. 7 to 10.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x=40 \mathrm{~mm}, \Delta y=100 \mathrm{~mm}, \Delta z=10 \mathrm{~mm} . \Delta t=6 \mathrm{sec} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5. Stereo vision system

TABLE I. CHARACTERISTICS OF CAMERAS

| ITEM | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: |
| Image sensor | $1 / 4 " \mathrm{CMOS}, 640 \times 480(350 \mathrm{k}$ pixels $)$ |
| Frame rate | $30 \mathrm{fps} @ 320 \times 240$ |
| Lens | $\mathrm{F}=2.2, \mathrm{f}=4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| View angle | 65 degree |
| Focus range | 10 cm to infinity |
| Exposure control | automatic |
| White balance | automatic |
| Flicker control | $50 \mathrm{~Hz}, 60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and none |
| Interface | USB 2.0 port |

TABLE II. DENAVIT-HARTENBERG PARAMETERS

| Joint | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ <br> $[\mathbf{m m}]$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ <br> $[\mathbf{m m}]$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\alpha+\beta$36.5 <br> $=$ | 438 <br> $(1)$ | -90 <br> $(1)$ | $\theta_{1}$ |
| 2 | 251.5 | 0 | 0 | $\theta_{2}$ |
| 3 | 125 | 0 | 0 | $\theta_{3}$ |
| 4 | 92 | 0 | 90 | $\theta_{4}$ |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | -90 | $\theta_{5}$ |
| 6 | 0 | 152.8 | 0 | $\theta_{6}$ |



Figure 6. 6R manipulator


Figure 7. Measurement result for linear path


Figure 8. Measured x coordinate


Figure 9. Measured y coordinate


Figure 10. Measured z coordinate
As second experimental test, the end-effector of $6 R$ manipulator is moved from initial point $P_{i}=(-68.5,68,385)$ mm to final point $P_{f}=(-60.5,-93,476) \mathrm{mm}$ through a circular trajectory in 6 sec . The distance between stereo vision setup and initial position of end effector is 400 mm . Resulted trajectory is shown in Fig. 11 also $x, y$ and $z$ components of end effector position are displayed in Figs. 12 to 14.


Figure 13. y coordinate


Figure 14. z coordinate
Considering figs. 12 to 14 , it is shown that 3 data are computed per second and sampling frequency of this measurement unit is 3 data per second. Also by comparing measured radius of circular trajectory with actual value, it is determined that measuring error is less than 1 mm for distance about 400 mm between cameras and end-effector.

## B. Scout Robot (Wheeled Mobile Manipulator)

Another experimental test was done on a wheeled mobile manipulator that is shown in Fig. 15. The robot is controlled using software launched on a PC which is in contact with the robot in a wireless network. Regarding to simulation and software limitation, two joints of left arm is used for study and the others are considered to be fixed. A schematic view of this robot is shown in Fig. 16. Also parameters of robot are listed in table 3.


Figure 15. Scout mobile robot


Figure 16. schematic view of Scout mobile robot

TABLE III. PARAMETERS OF SCOUT ROBOT

| Parameter | Value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| length of Links | $\mathrm{L}_{1}=0.16, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=0.21$ | m |
| mass of Links | $\mathrm{m}_{1}=0.128, \mathrm{~m}_{2}=0.231$ | Kg |
| moment of Inertia of link1 | $\mathrm{I}_{1}=0.00005$ | $\mathrm{Kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| moment of Inertia of link 2 | $\mathrm{Ix}=0.00008$ <br> $\mathrm{Iy}=0.00091$ <br> $\mathrm{Iz}=0.00092$ | $\mathrm{Kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| mass of base and wheels <br> moment of inertia of base <br> about Z axis <br> moment of inertia of wheels <br> about rotation axis <br> b,r,d,e$\quad 0.0 .32$ | Kg |  |
| mg.m |  |  |

Configuration of robot at initial point $\left(P_{i}\right)$ and final point $\left(P_{f}\right)$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{i}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
X_{c}=0 \mathrm{~m} \\
Y_{C}=0 \mathrm{~m} \\
\phi=0 \mathrm{rad} \\
\theta_{1}=-\pi \mathrm{rad} \\
\theta_{2}=\frac{-\pi}{2} \mathrm{rad}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{18}\\
& P_{f}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
X_{c}=1 \mathrm{~m} \\
Y_{C}=0 \mathrm{~m} \\
\phi=0 \mathrm{rad} \\
\theta_{1}=\frac{-\pi}{4} \mathrm{rad} \\
\theta_{2}=\frac{\pi}{4} \mathrm{rad}
\end{array}\right. \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Simulation of robot motion is done for 3sec and resulted angular positions and velocities are applied to robot. Finally stereo vision set up is used to measure position of end effector that is shown in Fig. 17 where measurement process is implemented two times. For more details, a planar view of end effector path along vertical coordinate is displayed in Fig. 18.


Figure 17. end effector path and robot configuration


Figure 18. planar view of end effector path

Results illustrate that error between simulation and measured end-effector path is 0.7 cm that maybe as a result of flexibility of links that are not modeled also errors in encoders that cause difference between simulated and actual path.

## VI. CONCLUSION

The focus of this paper is application of stereo vision approach on robotic systems to measure 3D position of endeffector. Stereo vision is a method that determines 3D position information of an object. A simple and inexpensive setup contains two cameras is made to implement stereo vision on any mechanical manipulator. Also basic formulation of triangulation process is mentioned to compute 3D position and orientation of end effector based on two resulted images. Experimental results are provided for 6R mechanical manipulator to show accuracy of proposed method for end-effector positioning. Results show positioning error less than 1 mm and good sampling frequency (5 data per sec). According to the results, following results can be mentioned:

- Performance of measurement system is related to quality of cameras and it can affect on accuracy and speed of stereo vision system.
- Other main factor is the distance between camera and end-effector. If this distance decreased then the accuracy of positioning system will be increased.
- Sampling frequency of measurement is a function of quality and speed of cameras.
- 3D position that is determined via stereo vision can be used in closed loop control system. But high sampling frequency is needed.
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