



## LOCALLY $\beta$ -CLOSED SPACES

C. K. Basu<sup>1\*</sup> and M. K. Ghosh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani-741235, Nadia, West Bengal, India

<sup>2</sup> Dumkal College, Basantapur, Basantapur-742406, Murshidabad, West Bengal, India

---

**Abstract.** In this paper, we generalize the notion of  $\beta$ -closed-ness [7] to arbitrary subsets and in terms of it we introduce the class of locally  $\beta$ -closed spaces and also investigate of its several properties. It is observed that although local  $\beta$ -closedness is independent of local compact  $T_2$ -ness but one can be obtained from the other by the help of a new class of functions viz.  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed functions which are independent not only of closed functions but also of continuous functions.

**AMS subject classifications:** 54C99, 54D99

**Key words:**  $\beta$ -open,  $\beta$ -closed,  $\beta$ -regular,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed functions, locally  $\beta$ -closed, locally compact  $T_2$ .

---

### 1. Introduction

Among various generalized open sets, the notion of  $\beta$ -open sets introduced by Abd. El-Monsef et al. [1] which is equivalent to the notion of semipre-open sets due to Andrijević [4], plays a significant role in General Topology and Real Analysis. Now a days many topologists have focused their research on various topics, using  $\beta$ -open sets. Mention may be made of some of the recent works which are found in [1,2,3, 4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,18,19,20,21,23]. Very recently Basu and Ghosh [7] by the help of  $\beta$ -open sets introduced a covering property known as  $\beta$ -closedness and characterized such spaces from different angles. In this paper, we

---

\*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* ckbasu1962@yahoo.com (C. Basu), manabghosh@gmail.com (M. Ghosh)

generalize the concept of  $\beta$ -closedness to arbitrary subsets and using such sets, we introduce and investigate locally  $\beta$ -closed spaces. Although we have seen that local  $\beta$ -closedness is independent of local compact  $T_2$ -ness but our intension is to achieve either of the spaces from the other. In this regard, a new class of functions called  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed function is introduced, which quite satisfactorily enables to establish our goal. In addition, a sufficient condition for a locally  $\beta$ -closed space to be extremally disconnected is also established.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  will always denote topological spaces without any separation axioms and  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  will represent a (single valued) function. Given a set  $A$ , its closure and interior are denoted by  $cl(A)$  and  $int(A)$  respectively. A set  $A$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -open [17] (resp. preopen [16], semi-open [14],  $\beta$ -open [1] or semi-preopen [4]) if  $A \subset int(cl(int(A)))$  (resp.  $A \subset int(cl(A))$ ,  $A \subset cl(int(A))$ ,  $A \subset cl(int(cl(A)))$ ). The complement of a  $\beta$ -open (resp. semi-open) set is said to be  $\beta$ -closed [1] or semi-preclosed [4] (resp. semi-closed [14]). The intersection of all  $\beta$ -closed (resp. semi-closed) sets containing  $A$  is called the  $\beta$ -closure [1] or semi-preclosure [4] (resp. semiclosure) of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\beta cl(A)$  or  $sp-cl(A)$  (resp.  $scl(A)$ ). A set  $A$  is called  $\beta$ -regular [7] (=sp-regular [18]) if its both  $\beta$ -open as well as  $\beta$ -closed. The family of all  $\beta$ -open (resp.  $\beta$ -regular, regular open) sets containing a point  $x \in X$  is denoted by  $\beta O(X, x)$  (resp.  $\beta R(X, x)$ ,  $RO(X, x)$ ). The family of all  $\beta$ -open (resp.  $\beta$ -regular, regular open) sets in  $X$  is denoted by  $\beta O(X)$  (resp.  $\beta R(X)$ ,  $RO(X)$ ). A point  $x$  of  $X$  is in the  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closure [7] (=sp- $\theta$ -closure [18]) of  $A$ , denoted by  $x \in \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl(A)$  (resp.  $x \in sp$ - $\theta$ - $cl(S)$ ) if  $A \cap \beta cl(U) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \beta O(X, x)$ . A subset  $A$  is said to be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed [7] (or sp- $\theta$ -closed [18]) if  $A = \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl(A)$  or  $A = sp$ - $\theta$ - $cl(A)$ . The complement of a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed or sp- $\theta$ -closed set is said to be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open [7] or sp- $\theta$ -open [18]. The family of all  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets of  $X$  is denoted by  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $O(X)$  and that containing a point  $x$  of  $X$  is denoted by  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $O(X, x)$ .

A filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -adhere at some point  $x$  of  $X$  if  $x \in \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl(F)$  for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and is said to  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converge to a point  $x$  of  $X$  if for each  $U \in \beta O(X, x)$ , there is an  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F \subset \beta cl(U)$ . A subset is said to be an  $NC$ -set [22] if every cover of  $A$  by regular open sets

of  $X$  has a finite subcover.

We state the following results which will be frequently used in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1** (T. Noiri [18], Basu and Ghosh [7]). *The following hold for a subset  $A$  of a space  $X$ :*

1.  $A \in \beta O(X)$  if and only if  $\beta cl(A) \in \beta R(X)$ .
2.  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}cl(A) = \cap\{V : A \subset V : \text{and } V \in \beta R(X)\}$ .
3.  $x \in \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}cl(A)$  if and only if  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for each  $V \in \beta R(X, x)$ .
4. If  $A \subset B$ , then  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}cl(A) \subset \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}cl(B)$ .
5.  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}cl(\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}cl(A)) = \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}cl(A)$ .
6.  $A \in \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}O(X)$  if and only if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists a  $V \in \beta R(X, x)$  such that  $x \in V \subset A$ .
7.  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}cl(A)$  is a  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}closed$  set and union of even two  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}closed$  sets is not necessarily a  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}closed$  set.
8. If  $A \in \beta O(X)$ , then  $\beta cl(A) = \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}cl(A)$ .
9.  $A \in \beta R(X)$  if and only if  $A$  is  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}open$  and  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}closed$ .
10.  $\beta\text{-}regular \Rightarrow \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}open \Rightarrow \beta\text{-}open$ . But the converses are not necessarily true.

### 3. $\beta$ -Closed Subsets and $\beta$ - $\theta$ -Closed Functions

**Definition 3.1.** A subset  $S$  of a topological space  $X$  is said to be  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$  ( $\beta$ -set, for short) if for every cover  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of  $S$  by  $\beta$ -open sets in  $X$ , there exists a finite subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  such that  $S \subset \cup\{\beta cl(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in I_0\}$ . If in particular, if  $S = X$  and  $S$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$  then  $X$  is  $\beta$ -closed [7].

It is not hard to prove the theorem 3.2 that gives several characterizations of a subset of a space  $X$  which is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$  and will be utilized in establishing several results.

**Theorem 3.1.** For a non-void subset  $S$  of a space, the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $S$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ .
- (b) Every filter base on  $X$  which meets  $S$ ,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -adheres at some point of  $S$ .

- (c) Every maximal filter base on  $X$  which meets  $S$ ,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to some point of  $S$ .
- (d) Every cover of  $S$  by  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets of  $X$  has a finite subcover.
- (e) Every cover of  $S$  by  $\beta$ -regular sets of  $X$  has a finite subcover.
- (f) For every family  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of  $\beta$ -regular sets of  $X$  with  $[\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha] \cap S = \emptyset$ , there is a finite subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  such that  $[\bigcap_{\alpha \in I_0} U_\alpha] \cap S = \emptyset$ .
- (g) Every filter base on  $S$ ,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -adheres to some point of  $S$ .
- (h) Every maximal filter base on  $S$ ,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to some point of  $S$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** For a space  $X$ , the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $X$  is  $\beta$ -closed.
- (b) Every proper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ .
- (c) Every proper  $\beta$ -regular set is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ .

**Proof :** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) : Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a cover of a proper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set  $S$  by  $\beta$ -regular sets of  $X$ . Since  $X - S$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open, for each  $x \in X - S$ , there exists a  $V_x \in \beta R(X, x)$  such that  $x \in V_x \subset X - S$ . Hence the family  $\{V_x : x \in X - S\} \cup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  is a cover of  $X$  by  $\beta$ -regular sets of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $\beta$ -closed, there is a finite subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  such that  $S \subset \cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I_0\}$ . Therefore by theorem 3.2,  $S$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) : Since every  $\beta$ -regular set is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed, the proof is obvious.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) : Let  $S \neq \emptyset$ ,  $X$  be a  $\beta$ -regular set. Since  $X = S \cup (X - S)$  and  $S$  and  $X - S$  are both  $\beta$ -regular, the proof is obvious.

**Theorem 3.3.** If  $S$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$  where  $X$  is  $T_2$  then  $S$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set.

**Proof :** Let  $x \notin S$ . Then for each  $y \in S$ , there exists an open set  $U_y$  containing  $y$  such that  $x \notin cl(U_y) = V_y$  (say). Since each  $V_y$  is regular closed and hence is  $\beta$ -regular and  $S \subset \cup_{y \in S} V_y$ , then by theorem 3.2, there exists a finite subset  $S_0$  of  $S$  such that  $S \subset \cup_{y \in S_0} V_y = V$  (say). Now the set  $V$  is being a regular closed set and hence its complement  $X - V \in RO(X, x)$ .  $X - V$  is therefore  $\beta$ -regular set containing  $x$ . So  $S$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed.

**Lemma 3.1.** (Abd. El-Monsef et al. [1]) Let  $A$  and  $Y$  be subsets of a space  $X$ . Then

- (i) If  $A \in \beta O(X)$  and  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ , then  $A \cap Y \in \beta O(Y)$ .
- (ii) If  $A \in \beta O(Y)$  and  $Y \in \beta O(X)$ , then  $A \in \beta O(X)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** [13] Let  $X$  be a space and  $A, Y$  be subsets of  $X$  such that  $A \subset Y \subset X$  and  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ . Then the following properties hold:

(i)  $A \in \beta O(Y)$  if and only if  $A \in \beta O(X)$ .

(ii)  $\beta cl_X(A) \cap Y = \beta cl_Y(A)$ , where  $\beta cl_Y(A)$  denotes the  $\beta$ -closure of  $A$  in the subspace  $Y$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space and  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ , then  $\beta R(Y, \tau_Y) = \beta R(X, \tau) \cap Y$ .

**Proof :** Let  $A \in \beta R(X, \tau) \cap Y$ . Then  $A = U \cap Y$  for some  $U \in \beta R(X, \tau)$ . Then by lemma 3.5,  $A \in \beta O(Y, \tau_Y)$ . Now by lemma 3.6, we have  $\beta cl_Y(A) = \beta cl_X(A) \cap Y \subset \beta cl_X(U) \cap Y = U \cap Y = A$ . So,  $A$  is  $\beta$ -closed in  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  and hence  $A \in \beta R(Y, \tau_Y)$ . Therefore,  $\beta R(X, \tau) \cap Y \subset \beta R(Y, \tau_Y)$ . Conversely, let  $W \in \beta R(Y, \tau_Y)$ . Then by lemma 3.6(i),  $W \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ . Clearly  $W = \beta cl_Y(W) = \beta cl_X(W) \cap Y$  (by lemma 3.6(ii)) and hence  $W \in \beta R(X, \tau) \cap Y$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $Y$  be an  $\alpha$ -open set in a space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  is  $\beta$ -closed if and only if  $Y$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ .

**Proof :** Let  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  be  $\beta$ -closed. If  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a cover of  $Y$  by  $\beta$ -regular sets of  $X$ . Then by above theorem 3.7,  $\mathcal{U}_Y = \{U_\alpha \cap Y : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a cover of  $Y$  by  $\beta$ -regular sets of  $(Y, \tau_Y)$ . Since  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  is  $\beta$ -closed then  $Y$  is covered by finite number of sets of  $\mathcal{U}$  say,  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  and hence  $Y$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ .

Conversely, let  $Y$  be  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a cover of  $Y$ , where each  $U_\alpha \in \beta R(Y, \tau_Y)$ . Then by above theorem 3.7, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , there exists a  $\beta$ -regular set  $V_\alpha \in \beta R(X)$  such that  $U_\alpha = V_\alpha \cap Y$ . Therefore  $Y$  is covered by the family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ . Since  $Y$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ , there exists  $V_{\alpha_1}, \dots, V_{\alpha_n} \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $Y \subset \cup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i}$ . Now as  $Y = \cup_{i=1}^n (V_{\alpha_i} \cap Y)$ ,  $\{U_{\alpha_1}, \dots, U_{\alpha_n}\}$  is a finite subfamily of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers  $Y$ . So  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  is  $\beta$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $A, Y$  be subsets of a space  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subset Y \subset X$  and  $Y$  be  $\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  if and only if  $A$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Proof :** The proof is obvious because of theorem 3.7.

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $Y, Z$  are open subsets of a space  $X$  such that  $Z \subset Y \subset X$  then  $Z$  is a  $\beta$ -closed subspace of  $Y$  if and only if  $Z$  is a  $\beta$ -closed subspace of  $X$ .

**Proof :** The proof follows from theorem 3.8 and theorem 3.9.

**Definition 3.2.** A function  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed if image of each  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set is closed in  $Y$ .

It is not hard to prove the following characterizations for  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed function.

**Theorem 3.7.** For a function  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\psi$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed.
- (ii)  $cl(\psi(B)) \subset \psi(\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}cl(B))$ , for each  $B \subset X$ .
- (iii) For each  $y \in Y$  and each  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $\psi^{-1}(y)$ , there is an open set  $U$  containing  $y$  satisfying  $\psi^{-1}(U) \subset V$ .
- (iv) For each subset  $A$  of  $Y$  and each  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $\psi^{-1}(A)$  there is an open set  $U$  containing  $A$  such that  $\psi^{-1}(U) \subset V$ .
- (v)  $\{y \in Y : \psi^{-1}(y) \subset V\}$  is open in  $Y$  whenever  $U$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open in  $X$ .
- (vi)  $\{y \in Y : \psi^{-1}(y) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$  is closed in  $Y$  whenever  $B$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed in  $X$ .

The concepts of closed functions and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed functions are independent to each other. In addition, the notions of continuity and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closedness are also independent. To validate these we establish the following examples:

**Example 3.1.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , the set of reals with the topology  $\tau_X$  in which the non-void open sets are subsets of  $X$  which contain the point 1. Clearly every non-void  $\beta$ -open set must contains the point 1 and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed sets are  $\emptyset$  and  $X$  only. Let  $Y = \{a, b, c\}$  with the topology  $\tau_Y = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$ . Let  $\psi : (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$  be defined as  $\psi(x) = a$  for all  $x \in X$ . Clearly  $\psi$  is continuous but as  $\psi(X) = \{a\}$ , where  $\{a\}$  is not closed in  $Y$ ,  $\psi$  is not a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed function.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Consider the identity function  $\psi_1 : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ . Clearly  $\psi_1$  is not a closed function but  $\psi_1$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed since the only  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$  are  $\phi$  and  $X$  only. Again the identity function  $\psi_2 : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is clearly a closed function which is not continuous. Since the family of

all  $\beta$ -open sets of  $(X, \sigma)$  is  $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  then  $\beta R(X, \sigma) = \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-}O(X, \sigma) = \{\emptyset, X\}$ . Therefore  $\psi_2$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed function.

**Example 3.3.** The identity function  $\psi : (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U})$ , where  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U})$  is the set of reals with the usual topology  $\mathcal{U}$  is a closed function which is not a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed function. In fact each closed rays  $(-\infty, a]$ ,  $[b, \infty)$  are  $\beta$ -open sets in  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U})$ . So for  $a < b$ , the interval  $(a, b)$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed. But its image  $\psi((a, b)) = (a, b)$  is not closed in  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U})$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed function having  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$  (i.e.  $\beta$ -set) point inverses. Then  $\psi^{-1}(A)$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$  whenever  $A$  is compact in  $Y$ .

**Proof :** Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open cover of  $\psi^{-1}(A)$ . By hypothesis and by theorem 3.2, for each  $y \in A$  there exist  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that  $\psi^{-1}(y) \subset \cup_{i=1}^n V_{\lambda_i} = V_y$  (say). Since  $V_y$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open and  $\psi$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed, by theorem 3.12, there exists an open set  $U_y$  containing  $y$  such that  $\psi^{-1}(U_y) \subset V_y$ . Since  $A$  is compact, there exist  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in A$  such that  $\psi^{-1}(A) \subset \cup_{i=1}^k \psi^{-1}(U_{y_i})$ . Hence  $\psi^{-1}(A) \subset \cup_{i=1}^k V_{y_i}$ , where each  $V_{y_i}$  is a union of finite number of members of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Therefore  $\psi^{-1}(A)$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed function having  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$  (i.e.  $\beta$ -set) point inverses. If  $Y$  is compact and  $X$  is  $T_2$  then  $\psi$  is continuous.

**Proof :** Since  $Y$  is compact then by the above theorem 3.16,  $\psi^{-1}(A)$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$  whenever  $A$  is closed in  $Y$ . Obviously  $\psi^{-1}(A)$  is an NC-set and since  $X$  is  $T_2$  then  $\psi^{-1}(A)$  is closed as well in  $X$ . Therefore  $\psi$  is continuous.

#### 4. Locally $\beta$ -Closed Spaces

**Definition 4.1.** A space  $X$  is called locally  $\beta$ -closed if for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a regular open neighbourhood of which is a  $\beta$ -closed subspace of  $X$ .

**Remark 4.1.** Every  $\beta$ -closed space is locally  $\beta$ -closed. But the converse is not true, in general. Any infinite set with the discrete topology is an example of a locally  $\beta$ -closed space which is not  $\beta$ -closed.

**Theorem 4.1.** *A space  $X$  is locally  $\beta$ -closed if and only if for each  $x \in X$ , there is a  $V \in RO(X, x)$  such that  $V$  is locally  $\beta$ -closed.*

**Proof :** The necessity part is obvious.

For the sufficiency part, let  $W \in RO(X)$ . We shall prove that if  $A \in RO(W)$  then  $A \in RO(X)$ . Indeed,  $A = \text{int}_W(\text{cl}_W(A)) = \text{int}_W(W \cap \text{cl}_X(A)) = \text{int}_X(W \cap \text{cl}_X(A)) = \text{int}_X(W) \cap \text{int}_X(\text{cl}_X(A)) = W \cap \text{int}_X(\text{cl}_X(A)) = \text{int}_X(\text{cl}_X(A))$  (as  $A \subset W$ ). By hypothesis, for each  $x \in X$ , there is a  $W \in RO(X, x)$  such that  $(W, \tau_W)$  is locally  $\beta$ -closed. Then by definition for each  $x \in W$ , there is an  $U \in RO(W)$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $U$  is a  $\beta$ -closed subspace of  $W$ . Then by the argument given above  $U \in RO(X, x)$  and hence by the corollary 3.10,  $U$  is a  $\beta$ -closed subspace of  $X$ . So,  $(X, \tau)$  is locally  $\beta$ -closed.

**Theorem 4.2.** *For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $(X, \tau)$  is locally  $\beta$ -closed.
- (b) For each point  $x$  of  $X$ , there is a  $V \in RO(X, x)$  which is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ .
- (c) Each point  $x$  of  $X$  has an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(V))$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ .
- (d) For each point  $x$  of  $X$ , there is an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $\text{scl}(U)$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ .
- (e) For each point  $x$  of  $X$ , there is an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $\beta\text{cl}(U)$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ .
- (f) For each point of  $X$ , there is an  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$  such that  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(V))$  is a  $\beta$ -closed subspace of  $X$ .

**Proof :** The proof is followed from the facts that a set  $A$  is pre-open if and only if  $\text{scl}(A) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$  and for an open set  $U$ ,  $\beta\text{cl}(U) = \text{int} \text{cl}(U)$  and from theorem 3.8.

**Theorem 4.3.** *A space  $(X, \tau)$  is locally  $\beta$ -closed if and only if  $(X, \tau_\alpha)$  is locally  $\beta$ -closed.*

**Proof :** Since  $\beta O(X, \tau) = \beta O(X, \tau_\alpha)$ , the proof is immediate.

The following examples show that local  $\beta$ -closedness and local compact  $T_2$ -ness are independent to each other.



**Example 4.1. Example of a locally  $\beta$ -closed space which is not locally compact  $T_2$** 

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , the set of reals with the countable complement topology  $\tau$ . Clearly  $PO(X) = \{\text{uncountable infinite subset of } X \text{ or } \phi\} = \beta O(X)$ . Since for a subset  $S$  of  $X$ ,  $\beta cl(S) = S \cup \text{int}(cl(\text{int}(S)))$  [6], then  $\beta cl(V) = X$  for any non-empty  $V \in \beta O(X)$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ -closed and hence is locally  $\beta$ -closed. Clearly  $(X, \tau)$  is not locally compact  $T_2$ .

**Example 4.2. Example of a locally compact  $T_2$  space which is not locally  $\beta$ -closed**

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , the set of reals with the usual topology  $\mathcal{U}$ . Clearly  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is locally compact  $T_2$  but is not a locally  $\beta$ -closed space.

Although we have seen from above examples that local  $\beta$ -closedness and local compact  $T_2$ -ness are independent concepts but the next two theorems are two of our main results and relate locally compact  $T_2$  spaces to locally  $\beta$ -closed spaces

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed surjection with point inverses are  $\beta$ -closed sets relative to  $X$  (i.e.  $\beta$ -set). Then  $X$  is locally  $\beta$ -closed whenever  $Y$  is locally compact  $T_2$ .*

**Proof :** Since  $Y$  is being a locally compact  $T_2$  space, for each  $x \in X$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $cl(V)$  is compact in  $Y$ . As  $\psi$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed, by theorem 3.16,  $\psi^{-1}(cl(V))$  is a  $\beta$ -closed set relative to  $X$ . As  $\psi$  is continuous it is obvious that  $\text{int}(cl(\psi^{-1}(V))) \subset \psi^{-1}(cl(V))$ . But  $\text{int}(cl(\psi^{-1}(V)))$  is obviously  $\beta$ -regular set containing  $x$  and hence by theorem 3.3,  $\text{int}(cl(\psi^{-1}(V)))$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ . Therefore by theorem 4.4,  $X$  is locally  $\beta$ -closed.

**Remark 4.2.** *In the above theorem  $X$  is not necessarily  $T_2$ .*

**Example 4.3.** *Let  $X = \text{any finite set}$  and  $\tau_X = \{\emptyset, X\}$ . Let  $Y = \{a\}$  with the discrete topology. Let  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  be the constant function. Then  $Y$  is locally compact  $T_2$  and  $\psi$  is continuous  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed surjection with  $\beta$ -closed set relative to  $X$  (i.e.  $\beta$ -set) point inverses. However  $X$  is not  $T_2$ .*

**Definition 4.2.** [7] A function  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $(\theta, \beta)$ -continuous if for each  $x \in X$  and each  $V \in \beta R(Y, \psi(x))$  there is an open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $\psi(U) \subset V$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** If  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed,  $(\theta, \beta)$ -continuous surjection with point inverses are  $\beta$ -closed sets relative to  $X$  (i.e.  $\beta$ -sets). Then  $Y$  is locally  $\beta$ -closed if  $X$  is locally compact  $T_2$ .

**Proof :** First of all we claim that  $Y$  is  $T_2$ . Indeed, by the hypothesis, for distinct points  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  in  $Y$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(y_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  are disjoint  $\beta$ -closed sets relative to  $X$  and hence they are NC-sets. Since  $Y$  is  $T_2$ , one can check easily that, there exist disjoint  $W_1, W_2 \in RO(X)$  satisfying  $\psi^{-1}(y_i) \subset U_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . As every regular open set is  $\beta$ -regular and hence is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open and as  $\psi$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed, then by theorem 3.12, there exist open sets  $U_i$  containing  $y_i$  such that  $\psi^{-1}(U_i) \subset W_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore  $Y$  is  $T_2$ . Let  $y \in Y$ . Since  $X$  is a locally compact  $T_2$  space, then for each  $x \in \psi^{-1}(y)$ , there exists a closed compact neighbourhood  $V_x$  of  $x$  in  $X$ . Now as the family  $\{int(V_x) : x \in \psi^{-1}(y)\}$  is being a  $\beta$ -regular cover (argument given above) of the set  $\psi^{-1}(y)$  which is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ , then by theorem 3.2, there exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in \psi^{-1}(y)$  such that  $\psi^{-1}(y) \subset \cup_{i=1}^k int(V_{x_i})$ . Hence  $\psi^{-1}(y) \subset \cup_{i=1}^k int(V_{x_i}) \subset int(\cup_{i=1}^k V_{x_i})$ . Since the latter subset is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open and  $\psi$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed then by theorem 3.12 there exists an open set  $W_y$  containing  $y$  such that  $\psi^{-1}(W_y) \subset int(\cup_{i=1}^k V_{x_i})$ . Hence  $y \in W_y \subset \psi(int(\cup_{i=1}^k V_{x_i})) \subset \psi(\cup_{i=1}^k V_{x_i})$ . As it is obvious that  $(\theta, \beta)$ -continuous image of a compact set is a  $\beta$ -closed set and  $Y$  is  $T_2$ ,  $\psi(\cup_{i=1}^k V_{x_i})$  is obviously closed. Since  $y \in W_y \subset int(cl(W_y)) \subset \psi(\cup_{i=1}^k V_{x_i})$  and  $int(cl(W_y))$  is  $\beta$ -regular then  $int(cl(W_y))$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ . Therefore by theorem 4.4,  $Y$  is locally  $\beta$ -closed.

**Definition 4.3.** A topological space  $X$  is called  $\gamma$ -perfect if for each  $U \in RO(X)$  and each  $x \notin U$ , there exists a family of open sets  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  such that  $U \subset \cup_{\alpha \in I} cl(V_\alpha)$  with  $x \notin \cup_{\alpha \in I} cl(V_\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** Every locally  $\beta$ -closed  $\gamma$ -perfect space is extremally disconnected.

**Proof :** Let  $U \in RO(X)$ , where  $X$  is a locally  $\beta$ -closed  $\gamma$ -perfect space. Let  $x \notin U$ . Since  $X$  is locally  $\beta$ -closed, there is a  $V \in RO(X, x)$  such that  $V$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ . Let  $R = U \cap V$ .

**Case-I:** Suppose  $R = \emptyset$ . Then  $U$  will be obviously closed.

**Case-II:** Suppose  $R \neq \emptyset$ . Then as  $R \in RO(X) \subset \beta R(X)$  and  $R \subset V$ , where  $V$  is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ ,  $R$  is clearly  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $\gamma$ -perfect and  $R \in RO(X)$  with

$x \notin R$  there exists a family of open sets  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  such that  $R \subset \cup_{\alpha \in I} cl(V_\alpha)$  with  $x \notin \cup_{\alpha \in I} cl(V_\alpha)$ . Since every regular closed set is being  $\beta$ -regular, the family  $\{cl(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$  is a  $\beta$ -regular cover of the set  $R$  which is  $\beta$ -closed relative to  $X$ . So there exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in I$  such that  $R \subset \cup_{i=1}^n cl(V_{\alpha_i})$ . Let  $W = X - \cup_{i=1}^n cl(V_{\alpha_i})$ . Clearly  $W \cap V$  is an open set containing  $x$  disjoint from  $U$ . Hence  $U$  is closed. Therefore  $X$  is extremally disconnected.

### References

- [1] M. E. Abd. El. Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb and R. A. Mahmoud,  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., 12(1) (1983), 77-90.
- [2] M. E. Abd. El. Monsef, A. M. Kozae, Some generalized forms of compactness and closedness, Delta J. Sci. 9(2), 1985, 257-269.
- [3] T. Aho and T. Nieminen, Spaces in which preopen subsets are semi-open, Ricerche Mat., 43 (1994), 45-59.
- [4] D. Andrijević, Semi-preopen sets, Math. Vesnik, 38 (1986), 24-32.
- [5] D. Andrijević, On SPO-equivalent topologies, Suppl. Rend. Cir. Mat. Palermo, 29 (1992), 317-328.
- [6] D. Andrijević, On b-open sets, Math. Vesnik, 48 (1996), 59-64.
- [7] C. K. Basu and M. K. Ghosh,  $\beta$ -closed spaces and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -subclosed graphs, European J. of Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 1, No. 3, 2008 (40-50).
- [8] Y. Beceren and T. Noiri, Some functions defined by semi-open and  $\beta$ -open sets, Chaos Solitons and Fractals, 36 (2008), 1225-1231.
- [9] J. Borsík, Oscillation for almost continuity, Acta. Math. Hungar., 115(4)(2007), 319-332.
- [10] M. Caldas, S. Jafari, Some properties of contra  $\beta$ -continuous functions, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi. Univ. (Math.) 2001; 22: 19-28.
- [11] Z. Duszynski, On some concepts of weak connectedness of topological spaces, Acta. Math. Hungar., 110(1-2), 2006, 81-90.
- [12] M. Ganster and D. Andrijevic, On some questions concerning semi-preopen sets, Journ. Inst. Math. and Comp. Sci. (Math. Ser.) 1(1988), 65-75.
- [13] S. Jafari and T. Noiri, Properties of  $\beta$ -connected spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., 101 (3)(2003), 227-236.
- [14] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 36-41.

- [15] G. Di Maio and T. Noiri, On  $s$ -closed spaces, *Ind. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 18 (3) (1987), 226-233.
- [16] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt*, 53 (1982), 47-53.
- [17] O. Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific Jour. Math.*, 15 (1965), 961-970.
- [18] T. Noiri, Weak and strong forms of  $\beta$ -irresolute functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 99, No. 4, (2003) 315-328.
- [19] V. Popa and T. Noiri, On  $\beta$ -continuous functions, *Real Analysis Exchange* 18(1992-1993), 544-548.
- [20] V. Popa and T. Noiri, Weakly  $\beta$ -continuous functions, *An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Mat. Inform.*, 32 (1994), 83-92.
- [21] V. Popa and T. Noiri, On upper and lower  $\beta$ -continuous multifunctions, *Real Analysis Exchange*, 22(1), 1996/1997, 362-376.
- [22] M. K. Singal and A. Mathur, On nearly compact spaces, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital* 4(6) 1969, 702-710.
- [23] T. H. Halvac, Relations between new topologies obtained from old ones, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 64(3), (1994), 231-235.