



Certain Results for a Subclass of Meromorphic Multivalent Functions Associated with the Wright Function

Sanjay K. Bansal¹, Jacek Dziok^{2,*}, Pranay Goswami³

¹ School of Engg. and Tech., Jaipur-303904, India

² Institute of Mathematics, University of Rzeszów, ul.Rejtana, 16A, PL-35-310 Rzeszów, Poland

³ Department of Mathematics, Amity University, Rajasthan, Jaipur- 302002, India

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new subclass of meromorphic multivalent functions associated with Wright generalized hypergeometric function and obtain new results for this class by the application of Briot-Bouquet differential subordination.

Key Words and Phrases: Analytic functions, Wright generalized hypergeometric function, The Briot-Bouquet differential subordination.

1. Introduction

Let Σ_p denote the class of meromorphic function of the form

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disk

$$\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathcal{C} \mid 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathcal{U} \setminus \{0\},$$

where $\mathcal{U} := \{z \in \mathcal{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$. Also, we denote $\Sigma = \Sigma_1$.

If $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ are analytic in \mathcal{U} , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to a function $F(z)$ written symbolically as $f \prec F$ or $f(z) \prec F(z)$, ($z \in \mathcal{U}$), if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ which (by definition) is analytic in \mathcal{U} with

$$w(0) = 0, \quad |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: bansalindian@gmail.com (S. Bansal), jdziok@univ.rzeszow.pl (J. Dziok), pranaygoswami83@gmail.com (P. Goswami)

such that

$$f(z) = F(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

In particular, if the function $F(z)$ is univalent in \mathcal{U} , then we have the following equivalence [cf. 7]:

$$f(z) \prec F(z)(z \in \mathcal{U}) \iff f(0) = F(0) \text{ and } f(\mathcal{U}) \subset F(\mathcal{U}).$$

For functions $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ given by (1) and $g(z) \in \Sigma_p$ given by

$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^{k-p}, \tag{2}$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^{k-p} =: (g * f)(z) \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}; z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{3}$$

Let $l, s \in \mathbb{N}$. For positive real parameters $\alpha_j, A_j \ (j = 1, \dots, q)$; $\beta_j, B_j > 0 \ (j = 1, \dots, s)$, with

$$1 + \sum_{j=1}^s B_j - \sum_{j=1}^q A_j \geq 0,$$

the Fox-Wright function ${}_l\psi_s$ is defined by [see 8]

$${}_l\psi_s[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,l}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,s}; z] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(\alpha_j + nA_j) z^n}{\prod_{j=1}^s \Gamma(\beta_j + nB_j) n!} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \tag{4}$$

In particular, when $A_i = B_j = 1 \ (i = 1, \dots, l; j = 1, \dots, s)$, we have the following relationship:

$${}_lF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \Omega \ {}_l\psi_s[(\alpha_1, 1)_{1,l}; (\beta_j, 1)_{1,s}; z] \quad (l \leq s + 1; z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{5}$$

where

$$\Omega := \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1) \dots \Gamma(\beta_s)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_l)}. \tag{6}$$

Let

$$\phi_p[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,l}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,s}; z] = \Omega z^{-p} \ {}_l\psi_s[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,l}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,s}; z] \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{7}$$

Due to Dziok and Raina [2] (see also [1] and [3]) we consider a linear operator

$$\theta_p^{l,s} \{(\alpha_1, A_1)\} f(z) = \theta_p[(\alpha_1, A_1), \dots, (\alpha_l, A_l); (\beta_1, B_1), \dots, (\beta_s, B_s)] : \Sigma_p \longrightarrow \Sigma_p$$

defined by the following Hadmard product

$$\theta_p^{l,s} \{(\alpha_1, A_1)\} f(z) := \phi_p[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,l}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,s}; z] * f(z). \tag{8}$$

If $f \in \Sigma_p$ is given by the equation (1), then we have

$$\theta_p^{l,s} \{(\alpha_1, A_1)\} f(z) = z^{-p} + \Omega \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(\alpha_j + nA_j) z^{n-p}}{\prod_{j=1}^s \Gamma(\beta_j + nB_j) n!} a_n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{9}$$

In particular, for $A_i = B_j = 1 (i = 1, \dots, l, j = 1, \dots, s)$, we get the linear operator

$$\mathcal{H}_p[\alpha_1] f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l (\alpha_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^s (\beta_j)_n n!} a_n z^{n-p} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \tag{10}$$

studied by Liu and Srivastava [6]. Obviously, for $l = 2, s = p = 1$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$, we get

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z) = z^{-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n}{(\beta_1)_n} a_n z^{n-1} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

It is easy to verify that

$$z \left[\theta_p^{l,s} \{(\alpha_1, A_1)\} f(z) \right]' = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1} \theta_p^{l,s} \{(\alpha_1 + 1, A_1)\} f(z) - \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{A_1} + p \right) \theta_p^{l,s} \{(\alpha_1, A_1)\} f(z) \tag{11}$$

Also, for $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ we denote by

$$V((\alpha_1, A_1); A, B) = V((\alpha_1, A_1), \dots, (\alpha_l, A_l); A, B)$$

the class of functions $f \in \Sigma_p$ which satisfy the following condition:

$$\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{A_1} + p \right) - \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{A_1} \right) \frac{\theta_p^{l,s} (\alpha_1 + 1, A_1) f(z)}{\theta_p^{l,s} (\alpha_1, A_1) f(z)} \prec p \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \tag{12}$$

Let h and q be analytic functions in \mathcal{U} with $h(0) = q(0) = p$ and let q be univalent convex function. The first-order differential subordination

$$h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{\beta h(z) + \gamma} \prec q(z), \tag{13}$$

is called the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination. This particular differential subordination has a surprising number of important applications in the theory of analytic functions (for details see [7]). In this paper we present one more application of the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination.

2. Main result

To prove our main results we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 1 ([7], see also [4]). Let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ and suppose $q(z)$ is convex univalent in \mathcal{U} with $q(0) = p$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ \beta q(z) + \gamma \} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

If $h(z)$ is analytic in \mathcal{U} with $h(0) = p$, and:

$$h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{\beta h(z) + \gamma} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \tag{14}$$

then

$$h(z) \prec q(z).$$

Lemma 2 ([7]). Let the function $w(z)$ be (nonconstant) analytic in \mathcal{U} with $w(0) = 0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r < 1$ at a point $z_0 \in \mathcal{U}$, then

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0), \tag{15}$$

where k is real and $k \geq 1$.

Making use of Lemma 1, we get the following theorem:

Theorem 1. If

$$\alpha_1 (1 + B) > pA_1 (A - B),$$

then

$$V((\alpha_1 + m, A_1); A, B) \subset V((\alpha_1, A_1); A, B) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Proof. Obviously, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for $m = 1$. Let a function f belong to the class $V((\alpha_1 + 1, A_1); A, B)$ or equivalently

$$\frac{-z \left[\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 1, A_1) f(z) \right]'}{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 1, A_1) f(z)} \prec p \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \tag{16}$$

Then the function

$$h(z) = \frac{-z \left[\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1, A_1) f(z) \right]'}{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1, A_1) f(z)}, \tag{17}$$

is analytic in \mathcal{U} and $h(0) = p$. Using equation (11) the equation (17) can be rewritten as

$$-h(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{A_1} + p \right) = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1} \frac{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 1, A_1) f(z)}{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1, A_1) f(z)}. \tag{18}$$

Taking the logarithmic derivative of equation (18), we get

$$\frac{-zh'(z)}{\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{A_1} + p\right) - h(z)} = \frac{z \left[\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 1, A_1)f(z) \right]'}{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 1, A_1)f(z)} - \frac{z \left[\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1, A_1)f(z) \right]'}{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1, A_1)f(z)}. \tag{19}$$

Using (17) in the above equation we have,

$$\frac{-zh'(z)}{\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{A_1} + p\right) - h(z)} = \frac{z \left[\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 1, A_1)f(z) \right]'}{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 1, A_1)f(z)} + h(z), \tag{20}$$

$$h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{A_1} + p\right) - h(z)} = - \frac{z \left[\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 1, A_1)f(z) \right]'}{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 1, A_1)f(z)}. \tag{21}$$

Thus by (16) we have

$$h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{A_1} + p\right) - h(z)} \prec p \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \tag{22}$$

Lemma 1 now yields

$$h(z) \prec p \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$

Thus, by (17) and (11) we conclude that $f(z) \in V((\alpha_1, A_1); A, B)$. This completes the proof of the Theorem 1.

Using Lemma 2 we now show the following sufficient conditions for functions to belong to the class $V((\alpha_1, A_1); A, B)$.

Theorem 2. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\alpha_1(1 + B) > pA_1(A - B), \quad 2(\alpha_1 + m - 1)B^2 \leq A_1p[(A - B)(2B + 1)]. \tag{23}$$

If a function $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\alpha_1 + m}{A_1}\right) \left| \frac{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + m + 1; A_1)f(z)}{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + m; A_1)f(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{A - B - \frac{\alpha_1}{pA_1}B}{A - B + \frac{\alpha_1}{pA_1}(1 - B)} \\ &+ \frac{B + p(A - B)}{1 + B}, \quad (z \in U), \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

then $f \in V((\alpha_1, A_1); A, B)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the case $m = 1$. Let a function f belong to the class Σ_p . On putting

$$h(z) = p \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} \quad (z \in U). \tag{25}$$

in (21), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{A_1} + p\right) - \left(\frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{A_1}\right) \frac{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 2, A_1)f(z)}{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 1, A_1)f(z)} = \frac{(A - B - \frac{\alpha_1 B}{pA_1})zw'(z)}{\frac{\alpha_1}{pA_1} + \{\frac{\alpha_1 B}{pA_1} + B - A\}w(z)} + \frac{Bzw'(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} + p \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}$$

Consequently, we have

$$F(z) = w(z) \left\{ \frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)} \left(\frac{A - B - \frac{\alpha_1 B}{pA_1}}{\frac{\alpha_1}{pA_1} + \{\frac{\alpha_1 B}{pA_1} + B - A\}w(z)} + \frac{B}{1 + Bw(z)} \right) + \frac{p(A - B)}{1 + Bw(z)} \right\}, \tag{26}$$

where

$$F(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{A_1} + p\right) - \left(\frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{A_1}\right) \frac{[\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 2, A_1)f(z)]}{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1 + 1, A_1)f(z)} - p.$$

By (12), (17) and (25), it is sufficient to verify that w is analytic in \mathcal{U} and

$$|w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Now, suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$|w(z_0)| = 1, \quad |w(z)| < 1 \quad (|z| < |z_0|).$$

Then, applying Lemma 2, we can write

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0), \quad w(z_0) = e^{i\theta} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Combining these with (26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z_0)| &\geq k \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{A - B - \frac{\alpha_1 B}{pA_1}}{\frac{\alpha_1}{pA_1} + \{\frac{\alpha_1 B}{pA_1} + B - A\}e^{i\theta}} + \frac{B}{1 + Be^{i\theta}} \right) + \frac{p(A - B)}{1 + B} \\ &\geq k \left(\frac{A - B - \frac{\alpha_1 B}{pA_1}}{\frac{\alpha_1}{pA_1} + A - B - \frac{\alpha_1 B}{pA_1}} + \frac{B}{1 + B} \right) + \frac{p(A - B)}{1 + B} \\ &\geq \frac{A - B - \frac{\alpha_1 B}{pA_1}}{A - B + \frac{\alpha_1}{pA_1}(1 - B)} + \frac{B + p(A - B)}{1 + B}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this results contradicts (24), we conclude that w is the analytic function in \mathcal{U} and $|w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$, which completes the proof of the Theorem 2.

Putting $p = 1$, $A = 1 - \alpha$, and $B = 0$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\alpha_1 > A_1(1 - \alpha)$. If a function $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1+m+1;A_1)f(z)}{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1+m;A_1)f(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{(1-\alpha)\left(2+\frac{\alpha_1}{A_1}-\alpha\right)}{\frac{\alpha_1+m}{A_1}\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{A_1}+1-\alpha\right)},$$

then

$$\left| \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1} \left(1 - \frac{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1+1,A_1)f(z)}{\theta_p^{l,s}(\alpha_1,A_1)f(z)} \right) \right| < 1 - \alpha.$$

Putting $A_i = B_j = 1 (i = 1, \dots, l, j = 1, \dots, s)$ in Corollary 1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha > 1$. If a function $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{H}(\alpha_1+m+1)f(z)}{\mathcal{H}(\alpha_1+m)f(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{(1-\alpha)(2+\alpha_1-\alpha)}{(\alpha_1+m)(\alpha_1+1-\alpha)},$$

then

$$\left| \alpha_1 + 1 - \alpha_1 \frac{\mathcal{H}(\alpha_1+1)f(z)}{\mathcal{H}(\alpha_1)f(z)} \right| < 1 - \alpha.$$

Putting $l = 2, s = p = A_1 = A_2 = B_1 = 1$, and $\alpha_2 = 1$ in Theorems 1 and 2, we get the following two results:

Corollary 3. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_1(1+B) > (A-B)$. If a function $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies the following condition:

$$(\alpha_1+m+1) - (\alpha_1+m) \frac{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1+m+1,\beta_1)f(z)}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1+m,\beta_1)f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

then

$$(\alpha_1+1) - \alpha_1 \frac{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1+1,\beta_1)f(z)}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1,\beta_1)f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$

Corollary 4. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_1(1+B) > A-B$ and $2(\alpha_1+m-1)B^2 \leq (A-B)(2B+1)$, If a function $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies the inequality:

$$(\alpha_1+m) \left| \frac{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1+m+1,\beta_1)f(z)}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1+m,\beta_1)f(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{A-B-\alpha_1B}{A-B+\alpha_1(1-B)} + \frac{A}{1+B} \quad (z \in U),$$

then

$$\alpha_1 + 1 - \alpha_1 \frac{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1+1,\beta_1)f(z)}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1,\beta_1)f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$

Putting $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = m = 1$ in Corollary 4 we obtain the sufficient conditions for starlikeness.

Corollary 5. Let $2B^2 \leq (A - B)(2B + 1)$. If a function $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies the inequality:

$$\left| \frac{z^2 f''(z) + 4zf'(z) + 2f(z)}{2(zf'(z) + 2f(z))} \right| < \frac{A - 2B}{1 + A - 2B} + \frac{A}{1 + B} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$\frac{-zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

i.e., the function f is starlike in \mathcal{U} .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS The authors S.K. Bansal and P. Goswami are thankful to Professor S. P. Goyal, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur for his valuable help and constant encouragement.

References

- [1] M.K. Aouf and J. Dziok, Distortion and convolutional theorems for operators of generalized fractional calculus involving Wright function. *J. Appl. Anal.* **14**, 183-192. 2008.
- [2] J. Dziok and R. K. Raina, Families of analytic functions associated with the Wright generalized hypergeometric function, *Demonstratio Math.* **37**, 533-542. 2004.
- [3] J. Dziok, R.K. Raina and H. M. Srivastava, Some classes of analytic functions associated with operators on Hilbert space involving Wright's generalized hypergeometric function, *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.* **7**, 43-55. 2004.
- [4] P.J. Eenigenburg, S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu and O.M. Reade, Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **65**, 289-305. 1978.
- [5] I. S. Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order α , *J. London Math. Soc.* **2**, 469-474. 1971.
- [6] J.L. Liu and H.M. Srivastava, Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Math. Comput. Modelling* **39**, 21-34. 2004.
- [7] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Monograph Textbooks Pure and Appl. Math. **225**, Dekker, New York (2000).
- [8] E.M. Wright, The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function, *J. London Math. Soc.* **10**, 286-293. 1935.