

Vol III Issue VII August 2013

Impact Factor : 0.2105

ISSN No : 2230-7850

Monthly Multidisciplinary
Research Journal

*Indian Streams
Research Journal*

Executive Editor

Ashok Yakkaldevi

Editor-in-chief

H.N.Jagtap

IMPACT FACTOR : 0.2105

Welcome to ISRJ

RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595

ISSN No.2230-7850

Indian Streams Research Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial Board readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

International Advisory Board

Flávio de São Pedro Filho Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil	Mohammad Hailat Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, University of South Carolina Aiken, Aiken SC 29801	Hasan Baktir English Language and Literature Department, Kayseri
Kamani Perera Regional Centre For Strategic Studies, Sri Lanka	Abdullah Sabbagh Engineering Studies, Sydney	Ghayoor Abbas Chotana Department of Chemistry, Lahore University of Management Sciences [PK]
Janaki Sinnasamy Librarian, University of Malaya [Malaysia]	Catalina Neculai University of Coventry, UK	Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania
Romona Mihaila Spiru Haret University, Romania	Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest	Horia Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania
Delia Serbescu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania	Loredana Bosca Spiru Haret University, Romania	Ilie Pintea, Spiru Haret University, Romania
Anurag Misra DBS College, Kanpur	Fabricio Moraes de Almeida Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil	Xiaohua Yang PhD, USA
Titus Pop	George - Calin SERITAN Postdoctoral Researcher	Nawab Ali Khan College of Business Administration

Editorial Board

Pratap Vyamktrao Naikwade ASP College Devrukh,Ratnagiri,MS India	Iresh Swami Ex - VC. Solapur University, Solapur	Rajendra Shendge Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University, Solapur
R. R. Patil Head Geology Department Solapur University, Solapur	N.S. Dhaygude Ex. Prin. Dayanand College, Solapur	R. R. Yaliker Director Managment Institute, Solapur
Rama Bhosale Prin. and Jt. Director Higher Education, Panvel	Narendra Kadu Jt. Director Higher Education, Pune	Umesh Rajderkar Head Humanities & Social Science YCMOU, Nashik
Salve R. N. Department of Sociology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur	K. M. Bhandarkar Praful Patel College of Education, Gondia	S. R. Pandya Head Education Dept. Mumbai University, Mumbai
Govind P. Shinde Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance Education Center, Navi Mumbai	Sonal Singh Vikram University, Ujjain	Alka Darshan Shrivastava Shaskiya Snatkottar Mahavidyalaya, Dhar
Chakane Sanjay Dnyaneshwar Arts, Science & Commerce College, Indapur, Pune	G. P. Patankar S. D. M. Degree College, Honavar, Karnataka	Rahul Shriram Sudke Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore
Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Secretary, Play India Play (Trust),Meerut	Maj. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary Director,Hyderabad AP India.	S.KANNAN Ph.D , Annamalai University,TN
	S.Parvathi Devi Ph.D.-University of Allahabad	Satish Kumar Kalhotra
	Sonal Singh	

**Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India
Cell : 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.isrj.net**

A STUDY OF HIERARCHY OF NEEDS OF TEACHERS WORKING AT SCHOOL AND COLLEGIATE LEVEL

Lata Subhash More

(I/C PRINCIPAL) Shri.Surupsing Hirya Naik, College of Education Navapur
Dist- Nandurbar-MS

Abstract: Though teaching is a joyful activity, it is a highly complex process. Teaching is a coin with both the sides of Humanity and Education. It will challenge the best efforts of the best teachers. A teacher's day alternates between smiles and sighs. It moves from excitement to pleasure, from optimism to depression and anxiety. From this stuffy life how the great teachers emerge and create their notable place in the teaching field? To get answer to this question one must has to understand the teaching life or profession.

Keyword: Hierarchy, Teachers Working, Humanistic Psychologist, Self-Actualized person.

INTRODUCTION:

Dr. Abraham Maslow was the Humanistic Psychologist. Humanists don't believe that humans are pushed and pulled by mechanical forces, either of stimuli and reinforcements or of unconscious instinctual urges. Humanists center on potentials. They believe that humans reach for a high-level of capabilities. Human looks for the frontiers of creativity, the highest reaches of consciousness and wise ness. Maslow "Self-Actualized person" has labeled this. For his experiment he studied the highly motivated and successful people such as Abraham Lincoln, Andrew Johnson, Theodore Roosevelt, Ian Stine, and so on. His theory is known as 'Need Gratification Theory.' In this theory he identified seven types of needs and arranged them sequentially at different levels. He coined this term as 'Hierarchy of Needs.' He focused that after the satisfaction of preceding need the individual can think of satisfying another needs.

The teachers are called the agents of social change and trans-enders of humanity. So how do they satisfy their needs? What is the degree of need gratification of teachers in each of the seven levels? At which level do they derive maximum gratification? Do they reach the level of self actualization? Are there sex differences exist in need gratification of teachers? To satisfy these questions the research study was undertaken.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:-

1. To find out the degree of need gratification at each of the seven levels.
2. To find out the ratio of self-actualization among teachers.
3. To compare the hierarchy of needs of male teachers with female teachers at each of the seven levels.

HYPOTHESIS OF THE STUDY:-

1. The degree of need gratification of teachers does not differ at each of the seven levels.

2. College teachers are more self-actualized than Schoolteachers.

3. Female teachers at both the levels- Secondary and Collegiate are more self-actualized as compare to male teachers.

4. Male and Female teachers differ in their hierarchy of needs at each level.

The Sample :- The sample consisted of 300 teachers belonging to secondary and primary schools and Degree Colleges from Nandurbar District of Maharashtra. (170 Male and 130 Female divided equally as School Teachers and College Teachers.) The teachers selected as a sample belonging to various disciplines.

Tools :- A Need Gratification Scale developed by G.Amaldoss and Sahtiagirirajan was used to elicit the opinion of teachers at various levels and disciplines of Education. The Scale has 28 statements and its reliability has been reported to be 0.81 and the content validity of the scale was reported to be high.

ANALYSIS OF THE DATA:-

The collected data was analyzed and interpreted. The global picture of the scores was given level wise and sex wise. To analyze the data Mean, S.D. and t-value on the degree of each level of need of both School teachers and College teachers were computed to find out the sex wise extent of need gratification.

Table No.1
Mean, S.D and t-value related to various Needs in each level of School and College teachers.

Need Level	School Teachers (150)		College Teachers (150)		t-value	Level of Significance at 0.05 level
	Mean	S.D	Mean	S.D		
Basic Needs	14.48	3.92	15.08	4.21	1.69	N.S
Safety Needs	15.60	4.53	12.10	3.87	7.60	Significant
Love	17.12	5.28	15.28	4.29	1.31	N.S
Esteem	12.07	3.18	18.37	5.78	12.11	Significant
Cognitive	13.62	3.18	14.62	3.97	2.50	Significant
Aesthetic	18.27	6.01	15.72	3.98	4.39	Significant
Self-Actualization	21.24	7.52	15.06	3.85	9.08	Significant

Table No. 2
Mean, S.D and t-value related to Sex differences in Need Gratification at School and Collegiate Level

Need Level	Male (School and Collegiate Level - 170)		Female (School and Collegiate Level - 130)		t-value	Level of Significance at 0.05 level
	Mean	S.D	Mean	S.D		
Basic	17.35	4.21	17.22	6.05	0.28	N.S
Safety	18.24	3.45	12.18	2.21	8.05	Significant
Love	13.27	3.18	20.46	5.58	13.82	Significant
Esteem	13.78	3.95	21.37	5.97	12.63	Significant
Cognitive	14.57	4.31	12.63	3.85	4.53	Significant
Aesthetic	12.82	3.81	17.55	5.09	9.27	Significant
Self-Actualization	13.23	3.14	18.41	6.09	8.38	Significant

Table No.3
Mean, S.D and t-value related to extent of Self-Actualization level of Primary and Secondary School Teachers and College Teachers (Compare with other needs levels of whole sample)

Need Level	Primary and Secondary School Teachers				College Teachers				t-value	Level of Significance at 0.05 level
	Other Needs		Self-Actualization		Other Needs		Self-Actualization			
	Mean	S.D	Mean	S.D	Mean	S.D	Mean	S.D		
Basic	14.48	3.92	21.24	7.52	15.08	4.21	15.06	3.85	8.45	Significant
Safety	15.60	4.53	21.24	7.52	12.10	3.87	15.06	3.85	8.05	Significant
Love	17.12	5.28	21.24	7.52	15.28	4.29	15.06	3.85	5.56	Significant
Esteem	12.07	3.18	21.24	7.52	18.37	5.78	15.06	3.85	14.10	Significant
Cognitive	13.62	3.18	21.24	7.52	14.62	3.97	15.06	3.85	11.72	Significant
Aesthetic	18.27	6.01	21.24	7.52	15.72	3.98	15.06	3.85	3.80	Significant

DISCUSSION AND RESULTS:-

The value in Table No. 1 shows that the School Teachers and College Teachers do not differ significantly in the mean scores of Basic and Love Needs Gratification at 0.05 levels. But in connection of Safety , Esteem, Cognitive,

Aesthetic and Self-Actualization needs gratification the School Teachers differ significantly as compare to the College Teachers. The mean scores show that the degree of Self-Actualization, Aesthetic and Safety needs gratification of School Teachers is superior as compare to College Teachers.

Table No. 2 reveals the detailed picture of sex differences in need gratification. No difference found in the Basic need gratification of Male and their counterparts. They both are same. But in connection of the degree level of Safety, Love, Esteem, Cognitive, Aesthetic and Self-Actualization needs. The Female teachers (at both the levels-School and Collegiate) are superior than that of their Male counterparts.

Table No.3 shows the comparison of the degree level of other needs with Self-Actualization of both School Teachers and College Teachers. The degree of need gratification of College Teachers at the Self –Actualization level was compared with the degree of other needs gratification of Secondary School Teachers.

It was found that the degree of need gratification at Self-Actualization level is significantly superior to all other need levels. It means that the Self-Actualization level is more superior as compare to other need levels given by Abraham Maslow.

As the Mean, S.D and t-value of the degree of need gratification of sex differences (Male and Female) at the Self-Actualization level was compared with other needs. The data in the table reveals that, Male Teachers found to superior at the Self-Actualization level as compare to Basic. Safety and Cognitive needs. No difference is found in the degree level of Love, Esteem and Aesthetic need gratification.

The Female Teachers are more Self-Actualized as concern to Safety, Esteem, Love and Cognitive needs. As compare to Basic and Aesthetic needs there is no difference found in the degree level of need gratification.

RESULTS AND INFERENCE-

- 1.The School Teachers are more Self-Actualized.
- 2.Both the Male and Female Teachers are satisfied about their Basic needs.
- 3.As concern to Love, Safety, Aesthetic, Esteem and Self-Actualization the degree level of need gratification is found high in Female Teachers.
- 4.Female teachers at both the levels are found more Self-Actualized.
- 5.There is difference in the degree level of need gratification of Male and Female teachers as well as Secondary and Collegiate teachers.

Above results shows that, as it is said by the great philosopher, 'women are Natural Nurse'. They are more stable in their jobs that is why such result may be found. But if the same study is apply in new context and for varied sample results may be changed.

EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS:-

- 1.Self-Actualized teachers can motivate the learners for learning.
2. They can satisfy the educational needs of pupil at various

levels of growth and development.

3. Teachers who are Self-Actualized provide healthy learning situation, encourage students and achieve the goal of education.

4. Self-motivated teachers' enables learner for creative thinking.

REFERENCES:-

i. Garrett, Henry. E. (1971). Statistics in Psychology and Education. Bombay. Vakils, FEFFER AND Simans.

ii. G. Amaldoss and Sahtiagirirajan. A Need Gratification Scale

iii. Keith F. Punch (2000). Introduction to Social Research- Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches. London, Thousand Oake.

iv. Maslow, Abraham H, (1970). Motivation and Personality, 2nd. ed., New York, Harper & Row,

v. Morey Lata. (1010). Shikshanache Manasshashtriya Adhishtan. Nagpur: Pipalpure and co. publishers.

Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished research paper.Summary of Research Project,Theses,Books and Books Review of publication,you will be pleased to know that our journals are

Associated and Indexed,India

- * International Scientific Journal Consortium Scientific
- * OPEN J-GATE

Associated and Indexed,USA

- Google Scholar
- EBSCO
- DOAJ
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Databse
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database

Indian Streams Research Journal
258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-413005,Maharashtra
Contact-9595359435
E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com
Website : www.isrj.net