

A Certain Subclass of P-valently Analytic Functions of Bazilevič Type

Ajab AKBARALLY¹ and Maslina DARUS²

Abstract. Using extended Ruscheweyh derivatives we define a new subclass of p -valently analytic functions which are of *Bazilevič-type*. We denote the new subclass as $M(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$. We find some sufficient conditions and angular properties for functions belonging to the subclass $M(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$.

Keywords: Analytic functions, Ruscheweyh derivatives, *Bazilevič-type*.

AMS Subject Classification Number: 30C45, 30C55

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S denote the family of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and univalent in the open unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. We denote by $S(p)$ the subclass of S consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (1.2)$$

which are p -valently analytic in U . The function $f \in S$ is said to be *Bazilevič-type* [1] if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ f'(z) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\alpha+i\gamma-1} \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right)^{-\alpha} \right\} > 0$$

where $z \in U$, $\alpha > 0$ and γ are real numbers, $g(z)$ is a starlike function with

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ z g'(z) / g(z) \right\} > 0.$$

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia, e-mail: : ajab@tmsk.uitm.edu.my

²School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia, e-mail: : maslina@ukm.my

The case where $\gamma = 0$ was also widely studied. Thomas [7] defined the class $B(\alpha)$ where $f \in B(\alpha)$ if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)^{1-\alpha} g(z)^\alpha} \right\} > 0$$

with $z \in U$ and $\alpha > 0$.

This subclass was later extended by Eenigenburg and Silvia [2] to a subclass of S which consists of functions f satisfying the following condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)^{1-\alpha} g(z)^\alpha} \right\} > \beta$$

where $z \in U$, $\alpha > 0$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$.

In this paper we introduce a new subclass $M(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$ of S which resembles the above mentioned subclasses. A function $f \in S(p)$ is said to be in the subclass $M(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$ if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{pD^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha \right) > \beta \quad (1.3)$$

where $z \in U$, $\alpha > 0$ and $0 \leq \beta < p$. $D^{n+p} f(z)$ and $D^{n+p-1} f(z)$ are extensions of the familiar operator $D^n f(z)$ of Ruscheweyh derivatives [5], $n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$. These operators were considered by Sekine, Owa and Obradovic [6] where

$$D^{n+p} f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}(n) a_{p+k} z^{p+k}$$

with
$$C_{p,k}(n) = \frac{(n+p+k)\dots(1+k)}{(n+p)!}$$

and

$$D^{n+p-1} f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p-1,k}(n) a_{p+k} z^{p+k}$$

with $C_{p-1,k}(n) = \frac{(n+p-1+k)\dots(1+k)}{(n+p-1)!}$.

Notice that $M(0,1,1,\beta) = C(\beta)$ is a class of close-to-convex functions of order β where a function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class $C(\beta)$ if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right) > \beta .$$

Also, notice that the condition (1.3) implies that

$$\left| \frac{pD^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)} \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha - p \right| < p - \beta . \quad (1.4)$$

The objective of this paper is to find sufficient conditions and angular properties for functions belonging to the subclass $M(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$.

In order to derive our main results, we have to recall the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.1 [3] : *Let $w(z)$ be analytic in U and such that $w(0) = 0$. Then if $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on circle $|z| = r < 1$ at a point $z_0 \in U$ we have $z_0 w'(z) = kw(z_0)$ where $k \geq 1$ is a real number.*

Lemma 1.2 [4] : *Let $q(z)$ be analytic in U , with $q(0) = 1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$.*

If there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that $|\arg(q(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta$ for $|z| < |z_0|$ and

$|\arg(q(z_0))| = \frac{\pi}{2} \delta$ for $\delta > 0$, then we have $\frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} = i\kappa\delta$, where $\kappa \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(L + \frac{1}{L} \right) \geq 1$,

when $\arg(q(z_0)) = \frac{\pi}{2} \delta$ and $\kappa \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left(L + \frac{1}{L} \right) \leq -1$, when $\arg(q(z_0)) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \delta$,

$q(z_0)^{1/\delta} = \pm Li$, ($L > 0$).

The following identity will also be used :

$$z(D^{n+p-1}f(z))' = (n+p)D^{n+p}f(z) - nD^{n+p-1}f(z). \quad (1.5)$$

2. SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR CLOSE-TO-CONVEXITY

Making use of Lemma 1.1, we first prove

Theorem 2.1 : *If $f \in S(p)$ satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{z(D^{n+p} f(z))'}{D^{n+p} f(z)} - (1-\alpha) \frac{z(D^{n+p-1} f(z))'}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} \right| < \frac{p-\beta}{2p-\beta} \quad (2.1)$$

for $0 \leq \beta < p$ and $\alpha > 0$ then $f \in M(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$.

Proof : Define the function $w(z)$ as

$$\frac{pD^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha = p + (p-\beta)w(z) \quad (2.2)$$

Differentiating (2.2) logarithmically we obtain that

$$\frac{(D^{n+p} f(z))'}{D^{n+p} f(z)} - \frac{(D^{n+p-1} f(z))'}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} + \alpha \frac{(D^{n+p-1} f(z))'}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} - \frac{\alpha p}{z} = \frac{(p-\beta)w'(z)}{p+(p-\beta)w(z)}$$

which gives

$$\frac{z(D^{n+p} f(z))'}{D^{n+p} f(z)} - (1-\alpha) \frac{z(D^{n+p-1} f(z))'}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} - \alpha p = \frac{(p-\beta)zw'(z)}{p+(p-\beta)w(z)}.$$

Suppose there exists $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$\max_{z < z_0} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1.$$

Then from Lemma 1.1 we have $z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$. Therefore letting $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$, with $k \geq 1$ we obtain

$$\left| \frac{z_0 (D^{n+p} f(z_0))'}{D^{n+p} f(z_0)} - (1-\alpha) \frac{z_0 (D^{n+p-1} f(z_0))'}{D^{n+p-1} f(z_0)} \right| = \left| \frac{(p-\beta)z_0 w'(z_0)}{p+(p-\beta)w(z_0)} + \alpha p \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \frac{(p-\beta)kw(z_0)}{p+(p-\beta)w(z_0)} + \alpha p \right| \\
&\geq \frac{p-\beta}{2p-\beta}
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts our assumption (2.1). Therefore we have $|w(z)| < 1$ in U . Finally, we have

$$\left| \frac{pD^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)} \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha - p \right| = |(p-\beta)w(z)| < p-\beta$$

that is, $f \in M(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$.

Recall that a function $f \in S$ is in the class C of close-to-convex functions if $\operatorname{Re}(f'(z)) > 0$.

Letting $n=0, p=1, \alpha=1$ and $\beta=0$, from (1.3) and (2.1) we obtain

Corollary 2.2: *If $f \in S$ satisfies $\left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| < \frac{1}{2}$ then $f \in C$.*

3. ANGULAR PROPERTIES

Theorem 3.1: *If $f \in S(p)$ satisfies the condition that*

$$-\frac{\pi}{2}\delta - \tan^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\alpha(n+p)} < \arg \left\{ \frac{pD^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}f(z)} \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta + \tan^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\alpha(n+p)}$$

$$(z \in U, 0 \leq \beta < p, 0 < \delta \leq 1, \alpha > 0) \tag{3.1}$$

$$\text{then } -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta < \arg \left\{ p \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof: Define $q(z)$ by

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{p-\beta} \left(p \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha - \beta \right) \quad (3.3)$$

Differentiating (3.3) we obtain

$$\frac{q'(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{\alpha p}{q(z)(p-\beta)} \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{(D^{n+p-1} f(z))'}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} - \frac{p}{z} \right) \quad (3.4)$$

which gives

$$\frac{zq'(z)}{\alpha} = \frac{p}{p-\beta} \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{z(D^{n+p-1} f(z))'}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} - p \right)$$

Then by applying the identity (1.5) to (3.4) we obtain

$$\frac{zq'(z)}{\alpha} = \frac{p}{p-\beta} \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{(n+p)D^{n+p} f(z) - nD^{n+p-1} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} - p \right)$$

which gives

$$\frac{zq'(z)}{\alpha} + (n+p)q(z) = \frac{p(n+p)}{p-\beta} \left(\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha - \frac{\beta}{p-\beta} (n+p)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{zq'(z)}{\alpha(n+p)} + q(z) = \frac{1}{p-\beta} \left(p \left(\frac{D^{n+p} f(z)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1} f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha - \beta \right)$$

Suppose there exist a point $z_0 \in U$ such that $|\arg(q(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta$ for $|z| < |z_0|$ and

$|\arg(q(z_0))| = \frac{\pi}{2} \delta$ where $\delta > 0$. Then from Lemma 1.2, $\frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} = i\kappa\delta$, where

$\kappa \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(L + \frac{1}{L} \right) \geq 1$, when $\arg(q(z_0)) = \frac{\pi}{2} \delta$ and

$$\kappa \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left(L + \frac{1}{L} \right) \leq -1, \text{ when } \arg(q(z_0)) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \delta, \quad q(z_0)^{1/\delta} = \pm Li, \quad (L > 0).$$

Suppose $\arg(q(z_0)) = \frac{\pi}{2} \delta$, then, $\kappa \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(L + \frac{1}{L} \right) \geq 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \left(p \left(\frac{D^{n+p} f(z_0)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z_0)} \right) \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1} f(z_0)}{z_0^p} \right)^\alpha - \beta \right) \\ &= \arg \left(q(z_0) \left(1 + \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{\alpha(n+p)q(z_0)} \right) \right) \\ &= \arg q(z_0) + \arg \left(1 + \frac{z q'(z_0)}{\alpha(n+p)q(z_0)} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \delta + \arg \left(1 + \frac{i \kappa \delta}{\alpha(n+p)} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \delta + \tan^{-1} \frac{k \delta}{\alpha(n+p)} \\ &\geq \frac{\pi}{2} \delta + \tan^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\alpha(n+p)}. \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumptions of the theorem.

Now suppose that $\arg(q(z_0)) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \delta$ then $\kappa \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left(L + \frac{1}{L} \right) \leq -1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \left(p \left(\frac{D^{n+p} f(z_0)}{D^{n+p-1} f(z_0)} \right) \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1} f(z_0)}{z_0^p} \right)^\alpha - \beta \right) \\ &= \arg q(z_0) + \arg \left(1 + \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{\alpha(n+p)q(z_0)} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2} \delta + \arg \left(1 + \frac{i \kappa \delta}{\alpha(n+p)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq -\frac{\pi}{2} \delta - \tan^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\alpha(n+p)}$$

which also contradicts the assumptions of the theorem. This completes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author is grateful to Universiti Teknologi MARA and the support of the research grant 600-RMI/ST/FRGS 5/3/Fst (21/2008) and the second author is grateful to Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia with the support of UKM-ST-06-FRGS0107-2009.

REFERENCES

- [1] I.E. Bazilevič, Über einen fall der integrierbarkeit in der gleichung von Löwner-Kufarev, *Maths. Sb.* **37** (1955), 471-476.
- [2] P.J Eenigenberg & E.M Silvia, A coefficient inequality for Bazilevič functions. *Annales Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska* **27** (1973), 5-12.
- [3] I.S Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order α , *J. London Math. Soc.* **3**(2) (1971), 469-474.
- [4] M. Nunokawa, On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. Math. Sci.* **69** (1993), 234-237.
- [5] S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** (1975), 109-115.
- [6] T. Sekine, S. Owa & M. Obradovic, A certain class of p-valent functions with negative coefficients, *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*. Srivastava, H.M. & Owa, S. (Eds.) : World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte Ltd. (1992).
- [7] D.K. Thomas, On Bazilevič functions. *Quart J. Maths. Oxford Ser.* **23**(90) (1972), 135-142.

Ajab AKBARALLY

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences,
Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia
E-mail: ajab@tmsk.uitm.edu.my

Maslina DARUS

School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti
Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia
E-mail: maslina@ukm.my