

ON VALUE GROUPS AND RESIDUE FIELDS OF VALUED FUNCTION FIELDS

Figen ÖKE

Trakya Üniversitesi Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi Matematik Bölümü, EDİRNE Tel. 090-284- 2352824
e-mail: fospo@hotmail.com

Received : 30.10.2003
Accepted : 28.01.2004

Abstract: In this paper studying on value groups and residue fields of valued rational function fields and valued function fields of conics is purposed. Let F be a function field over K ; v be a valuation on K ; w be an extension of v to F ; k_w, k_v and G_w, G_v be residue fields and value groups of w and v respectively. If F is rational function field over K then either k_w/k_v is an algebraic extension or k_w is a simple transcendental extension of any finite extension of k_v . If F is a function field of conic over K and $\text{char}_v \neq 2$ then either k_w/k_v is an algebraic extension or k_w is a regular function field of conics over any finite extension of k_v . In the both case either G_w/G_v is a torsion group or there exists a subgroup G_1 of G_w such that G_1/G_v is a torsion group and G_w is the direct sum of G_1 and an infinite cyclic group.

Key words: Conics, extension of valuations, value group, valued function fields, residue field.

Değerlenmiş Fonksiyon Cisimlerinin Rezidü Cisimleri Ve Değer Grupları Hakkında

Özet: Bu çalışmada değerlenmiş rasyonel fonksiyon cisimlerinin ve değerlenmiş konik fonksiyon cisimlerinin değer gruplarının ve rezidü cisimlerinin incelenmesi amaçlanmıştır. F, K cismi üzerinde bir fonksiyon cismi; v, K cismi üzerinde bir değerlendirme; w, v nin F cisimine bir genişlemesi; G_w, G_v ve k_w, k_v sırasıyla w ve v nin değer grupları ve rezidü cisimleri olsun. Eğer F, K cismi üzerinde bir rasyonel fonksiyon cismi ise k_w/k_v ya bir cebirsel genişlemedir ya da k_w, k_v nin bir sonlu genişlemesinin bir basit transandant genişlemesidir. Eğer F, K cismi üzerinde bir konik fonksiyon cismi ise k_w/k_v ya bir cebirsel genişlemedir ya da k_w, k_v nin bir sonlu genişlemesi üzerinde bir regüler konik fonksiyon cismidir. Her iki durumda da G_w/G_v ya bir torsion grubtur ya da G_1/G_v bir torsion grup ve G_w, G_1 ile sonsuz devirli bir grubun direkt toplamı olacak şekilde G_w nin bir G_1 altgrubu vardır.

Anahtar kelimeler: Değer grubu, değerlendirmelerin genişlemeleri, değerlenmiş fonksiyon cisimleri, konikler, rezidü cismi.

Introduction

Let K be a field, v be a valuation on K . The old and important problem is finding all extensions of v to $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are indeterminates. This problem is solved completely for only $K(x)$. The other problem is describing residue fields and residue fields of $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ for the valuation which is extension of v . In this paper there are some results on this problem.

Let $K(x)$ be a rational function field over K with valuation w which is extension of the valuation v . Let k_v and k_w be residue fields; G_v and G_w be value groups of v and w respectively. k_w/k_v is either an

algebraic extension or k_w a simple transcendental extension of any algebraic extension of k_v . G_w/G_v is either torsion group or there exists a subgroup G_1 of G_w such that $G_v \subseteq G_1$, $[G_1 : G_v] < \infty$ and G_w is direct sum of G_1 and an infinite cyclic group.

Let F be a function field of a conic over a subfield K , ν be a valuation on K with residue field k_ν of characteristic $\neq 2$ and w be an extension of ν to F having residue field k_w , G_ν and G_w be value groups of ν and w respectively. Either k_w is an algebraic extension of k_ν or k_w is a regular function field of a conic over a finite extension of k_ν . Either G_w/G_ν is a torsion group or there exists a subgroup G_1 of G_w containing G_ν with $[G_1 : G_\nu] < \infty$ together with an element γ of G_w such that G_w is the direct sum of G_1 and the cyclic group $Z\gamma$.

This facts are proved by J. Ohm, S.K. Khanduja and U. Garg in 1988,1991,1993,1994.

Preliminaries:

Let F/K be a finitely generated field extension. F/K is said to be a function field of a conic over K if the transcendence degree of F/K is one and if $F = K(x, y)$ where x and y satisfy an irreducible polynomial relation total degree 2 over K .

F/K is said to be a regular function field of a conic over K if

i) F/K is separable extension i.e. either x is separably algebraic over $K(y)$ or y is separably algebraic over $K(x)$

ii) K is algebraic closed in F .

Throughout the paper if K is a field and ν is a valuation on K then G_ν and k_ν will denote the value group and residue field of ν respectively. For any η in the valuation ring of ν , η^* will denote its ν -residue i.e. the image of η in k_ν .

If k'_ν algebraic closure of k_ν in k_w , we shall denote by $I = [G_w : G_\nu]$, $R = [k'_\nu : k_\nu]$ and by D the henselian defect of the finite extension $(F, w)/(K(\xi), \nu^\xi)$ where ν^ξ is the restriction of w to $K(\xi)$.

Results:

Theorem 1: Let ν be a valuation of K with value group G_ν and the residue field k_ν . Let w be an extension of ν to $K(x)$ with value group G_w and residue field k_w such that G_w/G_ν is not a torsion group. Then there exists $\beta \in \bar{K}$ with minimal polynomial say $P(x)$ of degree n over K and $\theta \in G_w$, θ not torsion mod G_ν such that if $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^r f_i(x)P(x)^i$ is the canonical representation of $f(x) \in K[x]$ with respect to $P(x)$, one has

$$w(f(x)) = \min_{0 \leq i \leq r} (\bar{\nu}(f_i(\beta)) + i\theta).$$

Then G_w is the direct sum of $G_1 = \nu(K(\beta) \setminus \{0\})$ and an infinite cyclic group.

Proof: Let \bar{K} be an algebraic closure of K and \bar{w} be an extension of w to $\bar{K}(x)$. Since G_w/G_ν is not a torsion group, $G_{\bar{w}}/G_\nu$ satisfies the same property. So the subset M of \bar{K} defined by

$$M = \{\alpha \in \bar{K} \mid \bar{w}(x - \alpha) \text{ is not torsion mod } G_\nu\}$$

is non-empty. Choose an element β of M so that $[K(\beta) : K] \leq [K(\alpha) : K]$ for all α in M .

We denote by $P(x)$ the minimal polynomial of β over K of degree n (say). Its roots $\beta = \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ are arranged such that $\bar{w}(x - \beta_i)$ is not torsion mod G_v for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $\bar{w}(x - \beta_i)$ is torsion mod G_v for $m + 1 \leq i \leq n$. We define μ and θ by

$$\mu = \bar{w}(x - \beta), \quad \theta = w(P(x)).$$

Observe that for any element α of M , $\bar{w}(x - \alpha)$ must be μ ; for $\bar{w}(x - \beta)$ cannot be equal to $\bar{w}(x - \beta_i)$ which is torsion mod G_v and hence by the strong triangle law

$$\bar{w}(x - \alpha) = \min(\bar{w}(x - \beta), \bar{w}(\beta - \alpha)) = \bar{w}(x - \beta) \tag{1}$$

A similar argument yield that if $\delta \in \bar{K} \setminus M$, then

$$\bar{w}(x - \delta) = \bar{w}(\beta - \delta) < \mu. \tag{2}$$

Using (1) and (2), it is immediately verified that

$$\theta = \bar{w}(P(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{w}(x - \beta_i) = m\mu + \sum_{i=m+1}^n \bar{v}(\beta - \beta_i), \tag{3}$$

which shows that θ is not torsion mod G_v .

We next show that if $h(x)$ is a non-zero polynomial over K , none of whose roots lies in M , then

$$\bar{w}((h(x)/h(\beta)) - 1) > 0 \tag{4}$$

For this write $h(x) = a \prod (x - \delta_i)$ as a product of linear factors over \bar{K} . By hypothesis $\delta_i \notin M$, so by (2)

$$\bar{w}(x - \delta_i) = \bar{w}(\beta - \delta_i) < \mu.$$

Consequently

$$\bar{w}\left(\frac{x - \delta_i}{\beta - \delta_i} - 1\right) = \bar{w}\left(\frac{x - \beta}{\beta - \delta_i}\right) = \mu - \bar{w}(\beta - \delta_i) > 0,$$

which shows that the \bar{w} -residues of $(x - \delta_i)/(\beta - \delta_i)$ and 1 are the same on taking product over i , one concludes that (4) holds.

An immediate consequence of the assertion proved above is that if all irreducible factors of a non-zero polynomial $h(x)$ of $K[x]$ are of degree less than n , then $\bar{w}((h(x)/h(\beta)) - 1) > 0$, in particular

$$w(h(x)) = \bar{v}(h(\beta)). \tag{5}$$

We now prove (a) and (b). Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^r f_i(x)P(x)^i$ be the canonical representation of a non-zero polynomial $f(x)$ with respect to $P(x)$. Since $\deg f(x) < n$, by (5) $w(f_i(x)) = \bar{v}(f_i(\beta))$ holds for each i . So the triangle law gives

$$w(f(x)) \geq \min_{0 \leq i \leq r} (w(f_i(x)P(x)^i)) = \min_i (\bar{v}(f_i(\beta)) + i\theta) \tag{6}$$

It is to be shown that equality holds in (6). Suppose that strict inequality holds, then the minimum in (6) is attained for at least two subscripts i and j , which implies that a non-zero integral multiple of θ is free mod G_0 proved in (3). \square

Let K be a field, ν be a valuation on K , w be an extension of ν to $K(x)$ and W be valuation ring of w . We shall define that $S = \{ \xi \in W \mid \xi^* \text{ trans} / k_\nu \}$ and $\min S = \{ \eta \in S \mid \deg \eta \leq \deg \xi \text{ for all } \xi \in S \}$.

Theorem 2: k_w is not algebraic over k_ν and let $\xi \in \min S$. Then $k_w = k'_\nu(\xi^*)$, where k'_ν is the algebraic closure of k_ν in k_w .

Proof: The inclusion \supseteq is immediate, so it remains to show \subseteq . By [5, Lemma 3.1] we may assume $\xi = f/g$, where $\deg f = n > \deg g$. For any $\xi \in V$, we may write, by [5, Lemma 3.2],

$$\xi = (a_m \xi^m + a_{m-1} \xi^{m-1} + \dots + a_0) / (b_m \xi^m + b_{m-1} \xi^{m-1} + \dots + b_0),$$

where a_i, b_i are elements of $K[x]$ which are either of $\deg < n$ or are 0. Let d be an element of least value from among $\{a_i, b_i \mid i = 0, \dots, m\}$, and let $\alpha_i = a_i/d, \beta_i = b_i/d$.

Then

$$\xi = (a_m \xi^m + a_{m-1} \xi^{m-1} + \dots + a_0) / (\beta_m \xi^m + \beta_{m-1} \xi^{m-1} + \dots + \beta_0),$$

where now the coefficients α_i, β_i are elements of $K(x)$ which are either of $\deg < n$ or are 0. Moreover, $\nu(\alpha_i), \nu(\beta_i), i = 0, \dots, m$, are all ≥ 0 . We may therefore consider the equality

$$(\beta_m^* \xi^{*m} + \dots + \beta_0^*) \xi^* = \alpha_m^* \xi^{*m} + \dots + \alpha_0^*.$$

Since $\xi \in \min S$ and the α_i, β_i are either 0 or of $\deg < \deg \xi$, it follows that the β_i^* are all algebraic over k_0 . But ξ^* is tr. over k_0 and we know some α_i^* or β_i^* is 1, some β_i^* must be $\neq 0$. Therefore $\beta_m^* \xi^{*m} + \dots + \beta_0^* \neq 0$, and hence

$$\xi^* = (\alpha_m^* \xi^{*m} + \dots + \alpha_0^*) / (\beta_m^* \xi^{*m} + \dots + \beta_0^*) \in k'_0(\xi^*).$$

Theorem 3: Let ν be a valuation of a field K and w be an extension of ν to an overfield $F = K(x, y)$ of transcendence degree one over K where $y^2 = P(x)$ is in $K[x]$. If $G_\nu \subseteq G_w$ are the value groups of ν and w then either G_w/G_ν is a torsion group or there exists a subgroup G_1 of G_w containing G_ν with $[G_1 : G_\nu] < \infty$ and an element γ of G_w such that G_w is the direct sum of G_1 and the cyclic group $Z\gamma$ generated by γ .

Proof: Assume that G_w/G_ν is not a torsion group. Let H denote the value group of the valuation w restricted to the subfield $K(x)$ of F . Then $[G_w : H] < [F : K(x)] \leq 2$, and H/G_ν is not a torsion group. It is known that there exists an (explicitly constructible) subgroup H_1 of H containing G_ν with $[H_1 : G_\nu] < \infty$ and an element of θ of H such that H is the direct sum of H_1 and $Z\theta$. [2. Corollary 1.2] So we assume that $[G_w : H] = 2$.

Two cases are distinguished:

If $(\lambda + \mathcal{G})/2 = \theta_1$ belongs to G_w for some λ in H , then

$$H = H_1 \oplus Z\theta \subset H_1 \oplus Z\theta_1 \subseteq G_w$$

and hence $G_w = H_1 \oplus Z\theta_1$ in this case. Suppose that $(h_1 + \theta)/2 \notin G_w$ for any h_1 in H_1 . It will be shown that $G_w = (G_w \cap \frac{1}{2}H_1) \oplus Z\theta$ in this case. Let g be an element of G_w . Since $2g \in H$, we can write

$$g = \frac{h_1}{2} + \frac{n\theta}{2}$$

for some h_1 in H_1 and some integer n . The claim is that n must be even. If n were odd, then on writing g as

$$g = \frac{h_1 + \theta}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2}\theta,$$

we derive that $\frac{h_1 + \theta}{2} \in G_w$, contrar to the assumption.

Lemma 4: Let K be a field of char $\neq 2$ and let F be a function field of a conic over K_v . Then there exist explicitly constructible elements $c - d \in K$ such that the K irreducible polynomial $x^2 - y^2 - d$ is a defining polynominal for F / K_v . [3]

Theorem 5: Let F be a function field of a conic over a field K Let v be a valuation of K and w be an extension of v to F . Assume that $char k_v \neq 2$. Then the residue field k_w of w is either an algebraic extension of k_v or k_w is a regular function field of a conic over a finite extension of k_v .

Proof : We may assume that k_w / k_v is not an algebraic extension. In view of Lemma 4, we may write $F = K(x, y)$ where (x, y) satisfies an irreducible polynomial $X^2 - cY^2 - d$ over K . Observe that y is transcendental over K and that $[F : K(y)] \leq 2$. We denote by v_1 , the valuation w restricted to $K(y)$ and by k_1, G_1 the residue field and the value group of v_1 . Then $[k_w : k_1] \leq 2$ and k_w / k_1 is not an algebraic extension.

When $k_w = k_1$, the desired result follows from the Theorem 2 applied to the simple transcendental extension $K(y) / K$ and the observation that a simple transcendental extension $L(t)$ of a field L is the regular function field of a conic over L which can be visualized by writing $L(t)$ as $L(t, 1/t)$ where $(t, 1/t)$ satisfies $XY - 1 = 0$.

Assume now that $[k_w : k_1] = 2$. Let Δ', Δ denote the algebraic closures of k_v in k_1 and k_w respectively. By the Theorem 2; k_1 is a simple transcendental extension of Δ' and Δ' is a finite extension of k_v . If $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta$, then

$$k_1 = \Delta'(t) \subseteq \Delta(t) \subseteq k_w.$$

In view of te assumption that $[k_w : k_1] = 2$, it is now clear that in the present case

$$[\Delta : \Delta'] = 2 \text{ and } k_w = \Delta(t).$$

The theorem remains to be proved when $\Delta' = \Delta$ and $[k_w : k_1] = 2$. Since

$$[F : K(y)] = [k_w : k_1] = 2, \tag{7}$$

it follows from the fundamental inequality (cf. [1, Chapter 6, §8.3, Theorem 1(b)]) relating the degree of extension with the ramification indices and residual degrees that the value group of w is G_1 ; in particular $w(x) \in G_1$. By [3, Lemma 2.2], there exists a non-zero polynomial $R(y) \in K[y]$ of degree less than $E = E(v_1/v)$ such that $w(x) = v_1(R(y))$. Set

$$T = x/R(y) \text{ and } \eta = (cy^2 + d)/R(y)^2$$

Since $x^2 - cy^2 - d = 0$, the v -residue T^* of T satisfies the polynomial $X^* - \eta^*$ over k_1 . In view of (7) and the fundamental inequality referred to above, w is the only extension to $F = (y, T)$ of the valuation v' defined on $K(y)$. Recall that $\text{char } k_1 \neq 2$; it now follows from [3, Lemma 2.4] applied to the extension $F/K(y)$ that $T^* = \sqrt{\eta^*}$ is not in k_1 . Since k_1 contains Δ' which equals the algebraic closure of Δ' in k_w , we conclude that T^* and hence η^* is transcendental over Δ' . Therefore $k_w = k_1 = (\sqrt{\eta^*})$ is proved to be a function field and hence a regular function field of a conic over $\Delta' = \Delta$, as soon as we show that there exists a generator u of the simple transcendental extension k_1/Δ' such that η^* is a polynomial in u of degree ≤ 2 with coefficients from Δ' . By [3, Lemma 2.3], η^* is itself a generator, say u , of the simple transcendental extension k_1/Δ' if $\deg(cy^2 + d) \leq E$; in fact in this situation $k_w = \Delta'(\sqrt{\eta^*})$ is a simple transcendental extension of Δ' . The remaining case is when $E = 1$, i.e., when there exist $a, b \in K$ such that $((y-a)/b)^* = u$ (say) is transcendental over k_v . In this case the polynomial $R(y)$ being of degree less than $E = 1$, must be a constant say R . Therefore on writing $\eta = (cy^2 + d)/R^2$ as a polynomial in $(y-a)/b$, we conclude that η^* is a polynomial of degree ≤ 2 in u over k_v . Then the theorem is completely proved.

Theorem 6: Let v be a valuation of a field K with residue field k_v of char $\neq 2$ and let w be an extension of v to an overfield $F = K(x, \sqrt{P(x)})$, $P(x)$ being a non-constant polynomial in an indeterminate x over K . Assume that the residue field k_w of w is not algebraic over k_v . Let's denote by D the henselian defect of the finite extension $(F, w)/(K(\xi), v^\xi)$ where v^ξ is the restriction of w to $K(\xi)$, ξ is an element of the valuation ring of w such that $\xi^* \text{ trans}/k_v$.

Then one can determine (by an explicit algorithm) an element u transcendental over k_v and a polynomial $A(u)$ over the algebraic closure Δ of k_v in k_w with $\deg A(u) \leq \delta + (\deg P(x))/IRD$ such that $k_w = \Delta(u, \sqrt{A(u)})$ where $\delta = 0$ or 2 ; indeed δ can be chosen to be 0 when $I = 1$.

Proof : We write $F = K(x, y)$, where $y^2 = P(x) \in K[x] \setminus K$. We denote by v' the valuation w restricted to $K(x)$ and by $k_{v'}$, $G_{v'}$ the residue field and the value group of v' . Then $[k_w : k_{v'}] \leq [F : K(x)] \leq 2$, and $k_w/k_{v'}$ is a non-algebraic extension as k_w/k_v is given to be so. By the Theorem 2, $k_{v'}$ is a simple tr. extension of a finite extension Δ' of k_0 . Throughout the proof, t will stand for the particular generator of $k_{v'}/\Delta'$ described in the opening lines of the proof of [4 Lemma 3.2.]. If $k_w = k_{v'}$,

the theorem needs no proof. From now on, it is assumed that $[k_w : k_{v'}] = 2$ and that $\Delta' = \Delta$, for $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta$ yields $k_w = \Delta(t)$.

Since

$$[F : K(x)] = [k_w : k_{v'}] \tag{8}$$

it follows from the fundamental inequality [1 The 1 b] that the value group of w is G_w ; in particular $w(y) \in G_w$. By [4 Lemma 3.1] we can choose a non-zero polynomial $h(x) \in F[x]$ of degree $< E' = E'(v' / v_0)$ such that $w(y) = v'(h(x))$; in the case $G_w = G_v$, we choose $h(x)$ of degree 0. Set

$$z = y / h(x), \eta = P(x) / h(x)^2$$

Then $z^2 = \eta$ and $v'(\eta) = 0$. In view of (1) and the fundamental inequality [3, § 8.3, Theo. 2(b)], w is the only extension to $F = K(x, z)$ of the valuation v' defined on $K(x)$. It follows from [4, Lemma 3.4] applied to the extension $F / K(x)$ that $z^* = \sqrt{\eta^*}$ is not in $k_{v'}$. Keeping in view the assumptions $[k_w : k_{v'}] = 2$ and $\Delta = \Delta'$, it is now clear that

$$k_w = k_{v'}(\sqrt{\eta^*}) = \Delta(t, \sqrt{\eta^*}).$$

Recall that $\eta = P(x) / h(x)^2$, where $\deg h(x)^2 \leq 2E' - 2$; in fact $\deg h(x)^2 = 0$ if $G_w = G_v$. By [4, Lemma 3.2]. $\eta^* = B(t) / C(t)$ with $B(t), C(t)$ in $\Delta[t]$ satisfying $\deg B(t) \leq (\deg P) / E'$ and $\deg C(t) \leq 1$. Further by [4, Remark 3.3], the polynomial $C(t)$ may be chosen to be of degree 0 when $G = G_0$.

Let us assume the inequality $E' \geq IRD$ to be proved below.

If $\deg C(t) = 1$, on taking $u = C(t)$ and writing the polynomial $B(t)$ as $B_1(u)$, we see that

$$k_w = \Delta(u, \sqrt{B_1(u) / u}) = \Delta(u, \sqrt{uB(u)})$$

as desired, for $\deg B_1(u) = \deg B(t) \leq (\deg P) / IRD$.

In case $\deg C(t) = 0$, say $C(t) = C \in \Delta$, then the theorem is proved on taking $u = t$ and $A(u) = B(t) / C$.

It only remains to verify the inequality $E' \geq IRD$ with the assumptions $\Delta = \Delta'$ and $[F : K(x)] = [k_w : k_{v'}]$. The latter implies that $G_w = G_{v'}$ and that the henselian defect of the extension $(F, w) / (K(x), v')$ is 1. Fix any element ξ of $K(x)$ with $v'(\xi) = 0$ and ξ^* tr. over $k_{v'}$. Since the henselian defect is multiplicative, it follows that

$$D = def^h(F / K(x)) def^h(K(x) / K(\xi)) = def^h(K(x) / K(\xi)).$$

Thus D equals the number $D' = def^h(K(x) / K(\xi))$ and $E' \geq [G_{v'} : G_v][\Delta' : k_{v'}]D'$, as $G_v = G_w$ and $\Delta = \Delta'$.

References

- 1 BOURBAKI N., Commutative Algebra, Hermann, 1972
- 2 KHANDUJA S.K., Value groups and simple transcendental extensions, *Mathematika* 38: 357-385, 1991
- 3 KHANDUJA S.K. and Garg U., Residue fields of valued function fields of conics, *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 36: 469-478, 1993
- 4 KHANDUJA S.K., On value groups and residue fields of some valued function fields, *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 37: 445-454, 1994
- 5 OHM J., The ruled residue theorem for simple transcendental extensions of valued fields, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 89: 16-18, 1983
- 6 OHM J., The Henselian defect for valued function field, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 107: 299-307, 1989
- 7 MATIGNON M. and OHM J., Simple transcendental extensions of valued fields III: The uniqueness property, *J. Math., Kyoto Univ.* 30: 347-365, 1990