



Existence and Uniqueness of Mittag-Leffler-Ulam Stable Solution for Fractional Integrodifferential Equations with Nonlocal Initial Conditions

Mohamed I. Abbas

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria 21511, Egypt

Abstract. In this paper, the existence and uniqueness of mild solution for fractional integrodifferential equations with nonlocal initial conditions are investigated by using Hölder's inequality, p -mean continuity and Schauder's fixed point theorem in Banach spaces. The Mittag-Leffler-Ulam stability results are also obtained by using generalized singular Gronwall's inequality.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 26A33, 34A08, 34D20, 45N05.

Key Words and Phrases: Mild solutions, Hölder's inequality, Schauder's fixed point theorem, The Mittag-Leffler-Ulam stability, Generalized singular Gronwall's inequality.

1. Introduction

During the past decades, fractional differential equations have attracted many authors (see for instance [10, 11, 14] and [15]). This is mostly because they efficiently describe many phenomena arising in engineering, physics, economy and science. There has been a significant development in nonlocal problems for fractional differential equations or inclusions (see for instance [1, 7, 12] and [22]).

As we all know, the main difficulty to study the fractional evolution equations is how to obtain the suitable fractional resolvent family generated by the infinitesimal generator A in Banach space. In order to solve this problem, some authors introduced an α -resolvent family under the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative and some constraints, (see, for example, [2, 6]), and the others introduced suitable operator families with the Caputo fractional derivative in terms of some probability density functions and operator semigroup (see, for example, [17, 22] and [23]). For the latter, a pioneering work has been reported by El-Borai [4, 5].

On the other hand, in the theory of functional equations there are some special kind of data dependence: Ulam-Hyers, Ulam-Hyers-Rassias, Ulam-Hyers-Bourgin and Aoki-Rassias (see [3,

Email address: miabbas77@gmail.com

8] and [9]). Recently, J. Wang *et al.* [18, 19] discussed four type Mittag- leffler-Ulam stability of fractional differential equations and obtained some new and interesting stability results. Motivated by the above work, we consider the nonlocal Cauchy problem of the following form

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D^\alpha x(t) = Ax(t) + f(t, x(t), I^\beta x(t)), & t \in J := [0, b] \\ x(0) + g(x) = x_0, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where ${}^C D^\alpha$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < \alpha < 1$, I^β is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integration of order $0 < \beta < 1$, $b > 0$, A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup $\{Q(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of operators on \mathbb{E} , $f : J \times \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, $g : C(J, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ are given functions satisfying some assumptions and x_0 is an element of the Banach space \mathbb{E} .

For any strongly continuous semigroup (i.e. C_0 semigroup) $\{Q(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{E} , we define the generator

$$Au = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{Q(t)u - u}{t} \text{ in } \mathbb{E}.$$

The domain $D(A)$ of this linear operator is the set of all $u \in \mathbb{E}$ for which the limit above exists. Then $D(A)$ is dense in \mathbb{E} and A is closed, meaning that for $u_n \in D(A)$, if $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $Au_n \rightarrow v$ in \mathbb{E} , then $u \in D(A)$ and $Au = v$. For more details, we refer the reader to [13].

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper. We assume that \mathbb{E} is a Banach space with the norm $|\cdot|$. Let $C(J, \mathbb{E})$ be the Banach space of continuous functions from J into \mathbb{E} with the norm $\|x\| = \sup_{t \in J} |x(t)|$, where $x \in C(J, \mathbb{E})$.

Let $B(\mathbb{E})$ be the space of all bounded linear operators from \mathbb{E} to \mathbb{E} with the norm $\|Q\|_{B(\mathbb{E})} = \sup\{|Q(u)| : |u| = 1\}$, where $Q(u) \in B(\mathbb{E})$ and $u \in \mathbb{E}$. Throughout this paper, let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup $\{Q(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of operators on \mathbb{E} . Clearly

$$M := \sup_{t \in [0, b]} \|Q\|_{B(\mathbb{E})} < \infty. \tag{2}$$

We need some basic definitions and properties of the fractional calculus theory which are used further in this paper. For more details, see I. Podlubny [15].

Definition 1. *The fractional integral of order α with the lower limit zero for a function $h \in AC[0, \infty)$ is defined as*

$$I^\alpha h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{h(s)}{(t-s)^{1-\alpha}} ds, \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

provided the right side is point-wise defined on $[0, \infty)$, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function.

Definition 2. *Riemann-Liouville derivative of order α with the lower limit zero for a function $h \in AC[0, \infty)$ can be written as*

$${}^L D^\alpha h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{h(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds, \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Definition 3. The Caputo derivative of order α with the lower limit zero for a function $h \in AC[0, \infty)$ can be written as

$${}^C D^\alpha h(t) = {}^L D^\alpha (h(t) - h(0)), \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Remark 1. • If $h(t) \in C^1[0, \infty)$, then

$${}^C D^\alpha h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{h'(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds = I^{1-\alpha} h'(t), \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

- The Caputo derivative of a constant is equal to zero.
- If h is an abstract function with values in \mathbb{E} , then integrals which appear in Definitions 1-3 are taken in Bochner's sense.

For measurable functions $m : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define the norm

$$\|m\|_{L^p(J)} = \begin{cases} (\int_J |m(t)|^p dt)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \inf_{\mu(\bar{J})=0} \{\sup_{t \in J-\bar{J}} |m(t)|\}, & p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

where $\mu(\bar{J})$ is the Lebesgue measure on \bar{J} . Let $L^p(J, \mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space of all Lebesgue measurable functions $m : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\|m\|_{L^p(J)} < \infty$.

Lemma 1 (Hölder's inequality). Assume that $q, p \geq 1$ and $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$. If $l \in L^q(J, \mathbb{R})$ and $m \in L^p(J, \mathbb{R})$, then for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $lm \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ and $\|lm\|_{L^1(J)} \leq \|l\|_{L^q(J)} \cdot \|m\|_{L^p(J)}$.

Lemma 2 ([21] p-mean continuity). For each $\psi \in L^p(J, \mathbb{E})$ with $1 \leq p < +\infty$, we have $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_0^b \|\psi(t+r) - \psi(t)\|^p dt = 0$, where $\psi(s) = 0$ for s not in J .

Lemma 3 (Bochner's Theorem). A measurable function $H : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Bochner's integrable if $|H|$ is Lebesgue integrable.

Lemma 4 (Schauder Fixed Point Theorem). If B is a closed bounded and convex subset of a Banach space \mathbb{E} and $F : B \rightarrow B$ is completely continuous, then F has a fixed point in B .

We end this section with an important singular type Gronwall inequality.

Theorem 1 ([16] Theorem 1.4). For any $t \in [0, b)$, if

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_i-1} u(s) ds,$$

where all the functions are not negative and continuous. The constants $\beta_i > 0$.

b_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are the bounded and monotonic increasing functions on $[0, b)$, then

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{1', 2', \dots, k'=1}^n \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k [b_{i'}(t) \Gamma(\beta_{i'})]}{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{i'})} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{i'}-1} a(s) ds \right).$$

Remark 2. For $n = 2$, if the constants $b_1, b_2 \geq 0, \beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$, $a(t)$ is nonnegative and locally integrable on $0 \leq t < b$ and $u(t)$ is nonnegative and locally integrable on $0 \leq t < b$ with

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + b_1 \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_1-1} u(s) ds + b_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_2-1} u(s) ds,$$

then

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(b_1 \Gamma(\beta_1))^k}{\Gamma(k\beta_1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{k\beta_1-1} a(s) ds + \frac{(b_2 \Gamma(\beta_2))^k}{\Gamma(k\beta_2)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{k\beta_2-1} a(s) ds \right].$$

Remark 3. Under the hypotheses of Remark 2, let $a(t)$ is a nondecreasing function on $0 \leq t < b$. Then we have

$$u(t) \leq a(t) (E_{\beta_1} [b_1 \Gamma(\beta_1) t^{\beta_1}] + E_{\beta_2} [b_2 \Gamma(\beta_2) t^{\beta_2}]),$$

where E_α is the Mittag-Leffler function [15] defined by $E_\alpha[z] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha+1)}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

3. Existence and Uniqueness of Mild Solutions

We recall the following definition of a mild solution for the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1). For more details, one can see [20, 24].

Definition 4. By the mild solution of the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1), we mean that the function $x \in C(J, \mathbb{E})$ which satisfies

$$x(t) = S(t)(x_0 - g(x)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, b],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S(t) &= \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q(t^\alpha \theta) d\theta, \\ T(t) &= \alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q(t^\alpha \theta) d\theta, \\ \xi_\alpha(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \theta^{-1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \rho_\alpha(\theta^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}), \\ \rho_\alpha(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \theta^{-an-1} \frac{\Gamma(n\alpha+1)}{n!} \sin(n\pi\alpha), \quad \theta \in (0, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where ξ_α is the probability density function defined on $(0, \infty)$, which has properties $\xi_\alpha(\theta) \geq 0$ for all $\theta \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$\int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta = 1, \quad \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}. \tag{3}$$

Before stating and proving the main results, we introduce the following hypotheses.

- (H1) $Q(t)$ is a compact operator for every $t > 0$.
- (H2) The function $f : J \times \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ satisfies that $f(., x, y) : J \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is measurable for all $x, y \in C(J, \mathbb{E})$ and $f(t, ., .) : \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is continuous for all $t \in J$ and there exists a positive function $\mu(\cdot) \in L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for some p with $1 < p < \infty$ such that

$$|f(t, x, y)| \leq \mu(t)(\|x\| + \|y\|), \quad x, y \in C(J, \mathbb{E}), \quad t \in J.$$

- (H3) The function $g : C(J, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is completely continuous and there exist constants $L > 0, L' > 0$ such that:

$$|g(x)| \leq L\|x\| + L', \quad x \in C(J, \mathbb{E}).$$

For each positive constant k , let $B_k = \{x \in C(J, \mathbb{E}) : \|x\| \leq k\}$. Then B_k is clearly a bounded closed and convex subset in $C(J, \mathbb{E})$.

The following existence result for nonlocal Cauchy problem (1) is based on Schauder fixed point theorem.

Theorem 2. *If assumptions (H1)–(H3) are satisfied, then the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1) has a mild solution provided that*

$$M \left[L - \frac{Mkb^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p+(\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{b^{\beta+\frac{p-1}{p^2}}}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \|\mu\|_{L^{p^2}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right) \right] < 1.$$

Proof. For any positive constant k and $x \in B_k$, according to (2) and (H3), it follows that

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)Q(t^\alpha\theta)(x_0 - g(x))d\theta \right| \leq M(|x_0| + Lk + L'). \tag{4}$$

Therefore, the function $\int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)Q(t^\alpha\theta)(x_0 - g(x))d\theta$ exists.

In view of (2), (3) and (H2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left| \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta)Q((t-s)^\alpha\theta)f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))d\theta \right| ds \\ & \leq M \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta)(t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))| d\theta ds \\ & \leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s)(\|x\| + \|I^\beta x\|) ds \\ & \leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s) \left(k + \frac{k}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{\beta-1} d\tau \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{Mk}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{s^\beta}{\beta\Gamma(\beta)}\right) ds \\
 &= \frac{Mk}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} s^\beta \mu(s) ds \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{Mk}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left(\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^t (\mu(s))^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^t (s^{\beta p} \mu(s))^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{Mk}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left(\left(\frac{p-1}{p+(\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} t^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p}} \|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p+(\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} t^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^t s^{\frac{\beta p^2}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p^2}} \left(\int_0^t (\mu(s))^{p^2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p^2}} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{Mk}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p+(\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} t^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p}} \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{\beta p^2}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p^2}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times t^{\frac{\beta p^2+p-1}{p^2}} \|\mu\|_{L^{p^2}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{Mkb^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p+(\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{b^{\beta+\frac{p-1}{p^2}}}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \|\mu\|_{L^{p^2}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right), \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in J$. Thus, $\left| \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) d\theta \right|$ is Lebesgue integrable with respect to $s \in [0, t]$ for all $t \in [0, b]$. From Lemma 3 (Bochner's theorem), it follows that $\int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) d\theta$ is Bochner's integrable with respect to $s \in [0, t]$ for all $t \in J$.

For each positive constant k , define an operator F on B_k by the formula

$$(Fx)(t) = S(t)(x_0 - g(x)) + \alpha \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, b], \tag{6}$$

where $x \in B_k$. Let

$$k = \frac{M(|x_0| + L')}{1 - M \left[L - \frac{Mkb^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p+(\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{b^{\beta+\frac{p-1}{p^2}}}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \|\mu\|_{L^{p^2}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right) \right]} \tag{7}$$

In the following, we will prove that F has a fixed point on B_k . Our proof will be divided into two steps.

Step I. $\|Fx\| \leq k$ whenever $x \in B_k$.

For each $x \in B_k$ and $t \in J$, by using the similar method as we did in (4) and (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Fx)(t)| &= \left| S(t)(x_0 - g(x)) + \alpha \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q(t^\alpha \theta) (x_0 - g(x)) d\theta \right| \\ &\quad + \alpha \left| \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) d\theta ds \right| \\ &\leq M(|x_0| + Lk + L') \\ &\quad + \frac{Mkb^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p+(\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{b^{\beta+\frac{p-1}{p^2}}}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \|\mu\|_{L^{p^2}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right) \\ &= k. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Hence $\|Fx\| \leq k$ for each $x \in B_k$.

Step II. F is a completely continuous operator.

Firstly, we will prove that F is continuous on B_k . For any $x_n, x \in B_k, n = 1, 2, \dots$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t) = x(t), \text{ for } t \in J.$$

Thus by condition (H2), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(t, x_n(t), I^\beta x_n(t)) = f(t, x(t), I^\beta x(t)),$$

for $t \in J$.

So, we can conclude that

$$\sup_{s \in [0, b]} |f(s, x_n(s), I^\beta x_n(s)) - f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, for $t \in J$

$$|Fx_n(t) - Fx(t)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left| S(t)(g(x_n) - g(x)) + \alpha \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) (f(s, x_n(s), I^\beta x_n(s)) - f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))) ds \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q(t^\alpha \theta) (g(x_n) - g(x)) d\theta \right| \\
 &\quad + \alpha \left| \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t-s)^\alpha \theta) (f(s, x_n(s), I^\beta x_n(s)) - f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))) d\theta ds \right| \\
 &\leq M \|g(x_n) - g(x)\| + \frac{\alpha M}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, x_n(s), I^\beta x_n(s)) - f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))| ds \\
 &\leq M \|g(x_n) - g(x)\| + \frac{M b^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \sup_{s \in [0, b]} |f(s, x_n(s), I^\beta x_n(s)) - f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|F x_n - F x\| \leq M \|g(x_n) - g(x)\| + \frac{M b^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \sup_{s \in [0, b]} |f(s, x_n(s), I^\beta x_n(s)) - f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))|.$$

Hence, by condition (H3) we get $\|F x_n - F x\| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This means that F is continuous.

Next, we will show that $\{F x, x \in B_k\}$ is equicontinuous. For any $x \in B_k$ and $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq b$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|(F x)(t_2) - (F x)(t_1)| \\
 &= \left| [S(t_2) - S(t_1)](x_0 - g(x)) \right. \\
 &\quad + \alpha \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} T(t_2 - s) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) ds \\
 &\quad \left. - \alpha \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} T(t_1 - s) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) ds \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) [Q(t_2^\alpha \theta) - Q(t_1^\alpha \theta)] (x_0 - g(x)) d\theta \right| \\
 &\quad + \alpha \left| \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^\infty \theta (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) d\theta ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^\infty \theta (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) d\theta ds \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) [Q(t_2^\alpha \theta) - Q(t_1^\alpha \theta)] (x_0 - g(x)) d\theta \right| \\
 &\quad + \alpha \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^\infty \theta (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) d\theta ds \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \alpha \left| \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^\infty \theta [(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}] \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) d\theta ds \right| \\
 & + \alpha \left| \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^\infty \theta (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) [Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) - Q((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta)] f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) d\theta ds \right| \\
 = & \left| \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) [Q(t_2^\alpha \theta) - Q(t_1^\alpha \theta)] (x_0 - g(x)) d\theta \right| + \alpha(I_1 + I_2 + I_3),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 & = \alpha \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^\infty \theta (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) d\theta ds \right|, \\
 I_2 & = \alpha \left| \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^\infty \theta [(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}] \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) d\theta ds \right|, \\
 I_3 & = \alpha \left| \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^\infty \theta (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) [Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) - Q((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta)] f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) d\theta ds \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

By using analogous argument performed in (4) and (5), we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 & \leq \frac{\alpha Mk}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} s^\beta\right) ds \\
 & \leq \frac{Mk}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \left(\left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\mu(s))^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (s^{\beta p} \mu(s))^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{Mk}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p + (\alpha-1)p - 1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{p + (\alpha-1)p - 1}{p}} \\
 & \quad \times \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} s^{\frac{\beta p^2}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p^2}} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\mu(s))^{p^2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p^2}} \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{Mk}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p + (\alpha-1)p - 1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (t_2 - t_1)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{p}} \\
 & \quad \times \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\beta p^2}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p^2}} \left(t_2^{\frac{\beta p^2}{p-1} + 1} - t_1^{\frac{\beta p^2}{p-1} + 1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p^2}} \|\mu\|_{L^{p^2}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

One can deduce that $\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow t_2} I_1 = 0$. Also, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &\leq \frac{\alpha M k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}] \mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} s^\beta\right) ds \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha M k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\left(\int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}]^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{t_1} (\mu(s))^{\frac{p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \left(\int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}]^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{t_1} (s^\beta \mu(s))^{\frac{p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha M k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\left(\int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}]^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\mu\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \left(\int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}]^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{t_1} s^{\frac{\beta p^2}{(p-1)^2}} ds \right)^{\frac{(p-1)^2}{p^2}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left(\int_0^{t_1} (\mu(s))^{\frac{p^2}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p^2}} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha M k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\|\mu\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{b^{\beta + \frac{(p-1)^2}{p^2}}}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\beta p^2}{(p-1)^2}} \right)^{\frac{(p-1)^2}{p^2}} \|\mu\|_{L^{\frac{p^2}{p-1}}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_0^b [(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}]^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lagrange mean value theorem, one can obtain $(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$, for $s \in J$. By Lemma 2, we can deduce that $\int_0^b [(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}]^p ds \rightarrow 0$ as $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$. Thus we deduce that $\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow t_2} I_2 = 0$.

For $t_1 = 0, 0 < t_2 \leq b$, it is easy to see that $I_3 = 0$. For $t_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be enough small, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 &\leq \alpha \int_0^{t_1-\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty \theta (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) \|Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) - Q((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta)\|_{B(E)} |f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))| d\theta ds \\
 &\quad + \alpha \int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1} \int_0^\infty \theta (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) \|Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) - Q((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta)\|_{B(E)} |f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))| d\theta ds \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^{t_1-\varepsilon} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{s^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}\right) \sup_{s \in [0, t_1-\varepsilon]} \|Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) - Q((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta)\|_{B(E)} ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{2\alpha M k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{s^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}\right) ds \\
 \leq & \frac{\alpha k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\left(\int_0^{t_1-\varepsilon} (t_1 - s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{t_1-\varepsilon} (\mu(s))^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\
 & + \left. \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} \left(\int_0^{t_1-\varepsilon} (t_1 - s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{t_1-\varepsilon} (s^{\beta p} \mu(s))^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \\
 & \times \sup_{s \in [0, t_1-\varepsilon]} \|Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) - Q((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta)\|_{B(E)} \\
 & + \frac{2\alpha M k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\left(\int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1} (\mu(s))^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} \right. \\
 & \times \left. \left(\int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1} (s^{\beta p} \mu(s))^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \\
 \leq & \frac{\alpha k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p + (\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(t_1^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p-1}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} \right. \\
 & \times \left. \left(\int_0^{t_1-\varepsilon} s^{\frac{\beta p^2}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p^2}} \left(\int_0^{t_1-\varepsilon} (\mu(s))^{p^2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p^2}} \right) \sup_{s \in [0, t_1-\varepsilon]} \|Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) - Q((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta)\|_{B(E)} \\
 & + \frac{2\alpha M k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p + (\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \varepsilon^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p}} \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} \left(\int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1} s^{\frac{\beta p^2}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p^2}} \right. \\
 & \times \left. \left(\int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1} (\mu(s))^{p^2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p^2}} \right) \\
 \leq & \frac{\alpha k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p + (\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(t_1^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p-1}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} \right. \\
 & \times \left. \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\beta p^2}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p^2}} (t_1 - \varepsilon)^{\frac{\beta p^2 + p - 1}{p^2}} \|\mu\|_{L^{p^2}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right) \sup_{s \in [0, t_1-\varepsilon]} \|Q((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) - Q((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta)\|_{B(E)} \\
 & + \frac{2\alpha M k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p + (\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \varepsilon^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\beta p^2}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p^2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\beta p^2 + p - 1}{p^2}} \|\mu\|_{L^{p^2}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right)$$

Since (H1) implies the continuity of $\{Q(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in t in the uniform operator topology, it is easy to see that I_3 tends to zero independently of $x \in B_k$ as $t_2 - t_1 \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus $(Fx)(t_2) - (Fx)(t_1)$ tends to zero independently of $x \in B_k$ as $t_2 - t_1 \rightarrow 0$, which means that $\{Fx, x \in B_k\}$ is equicontinuous.

It remains to prove that for $t \in [0, b]$, the set $V(t) = \{(Fx)(t), x \in B_k\}$ is relatively compact in \mathbb{E} . Obviously, $V(0)$ is relatively compact in \mathbb{E} . Let $0 < t \leq b$ be fixed. For $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, t)$ and $\forall \delta > 0$, define an operator $F_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ on B_k by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (F_{\varepsilon, \delta}x)(t) &= \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta)Q(t^{\alpha}\theta)(x_0 - g(x))d\theta \\ &\quad + \alpha \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta)Q((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta)f(s, x(s), I^{\beta}x(s))d\theta ds \\ &= Q(\varepsilon^{\alpha}\delta) \left(\int_{\delta}^{\infty} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta)Q(t^{\alpha}\theta - \varepsilon^{\alpha}\delta)(x_0 - g(x))d\theta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta)Q((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta - \varepsilon^{\alpha}\delta)f(s, x(s), I^{\beta}x(s))d\theta ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $x \in B_k$. Then from the compactness of $Q(\varepsilon^{\alpha}\delta)(\varepsilon^{\alpha}\delta > 0)$, we obtain that the set $V_{\varepsilon, \delta}(t) = \{(F_{\varepsilon, \delta}x)(t), x \in B_k\}$ is relatively compact in \mathbb{E} . Obviously, $V(0)$ is relatively compact in \mathbb{E} for $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, t)$ and $\forall \delta > 0$. Moreover, for every $x \in B_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Fx)(t) - (F_{\varepsilon, \delta}x)(t)| &\leq \left| \int_0^{\delta} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta)Q(t^{\alpha}\theta)(x_0 - g(x))d\theta \right| \\ &\quad + \alpha \left| \int_0^t \int_0^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta)Q((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta)f(s, x(s), I^{\beta}x(s))d\theta ds \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta)Q((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta)f(s, x(s), I^{\beta}x(s))d\theta ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta)Q((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta)f(s, x(s), I^{\beta}x(s))d\theta ds \right| \\ &\leq M(x_0 + Lk + L') \int_0^{\delta} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta)d\theta \\ &\quad + \alpha Mk \int_0^t \int_0^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta)\mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{s^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}\right) d\theta ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \alpha M k \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) \mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{s^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\right) d\theta ds \\
 & \leq M(x_0 + Lk + L') \int_0^{\delta} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) d\theta \\
 & + \alpha M k \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{s^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\right) ds \right) \int_0^{\delta} \theta \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) d\theta \\
 & + \alpha M k \left(\int_{t-\varepsilon}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{s^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\right) ds \right) \int_0^{\infty} \theta \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) d\theta \\
 & \leq M(x_0 + Lk + L') \int_0^{\delta} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) d\theta \\
 & + \alpha M k \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{s^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\right) ds \right) \int_0^{\delta} \theta \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) d\theta \\
 & + \alpha M k \left(\int_{t-\varepsilon}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{s^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\right) ds \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using again the same analogous performed in (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{s^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\right) ds & \leq \left(\frac{p-1}{p+(\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} t^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p}} \\
 & \times \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{t^{\frac{\beta p^2+p-1}{p^2}}}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \|\mu\|_{L^{p^2}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \mu(s) \left(1 + \frac{s^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\right) ds & \leq \left(\frac{p-1}{p+(\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \varepsilon^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p}} \\
 & \times \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta p^2+p-1}{p^2}}}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \|\mu\|_{L^{p^2}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Fx)(t) - (F_{\varepsilon, \delta}x)(t)| & \leq M(x_0 + Lk + L') \int_0^{\delta} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) d\theta \\
 & + \alpha M k \left(\frac{p-1}{p+(\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} t^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p}} \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{t^{\frac{\beta p^2+p-1}{p^2}}}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \|\mu\|_{L^{p^2}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \int_0^\delta \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta + \alpha M k \left(\frac{p-1}{p+(\alpha-1)p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ & \times \varepsilon^{\frac{p+(\alpha-1)p-1}{p}} \left(\|\mu\|_{L^p(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta p^2+p-1}{p^2}}}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \|\mu\|_{L^{p^2}(J, \mathbb{R}^+)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there are relatively compact sets $\{(F_{\varepsilon, \delta} x)(t), x \in B_k\}$ arbitrarily close to the set $\{(Fx)(t), x \in B_k\}$ for $t \in (0, b]$. Hence, $\{(Fx)(t), x \in B_k\}$ is relatively compact in \mathbb{E} . Moreover, $\{(Fx)(t), x \in B_k\}$ is uniformly bounded by (8). Therefore, $\{(Fx)(t), x \in B_k\}$ is relatively compact by Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem.

Also, Since F is continuous on B_k . Then F is a completely continuous operator. Obviously F maps B_k into itself. Hence, Schauder fixed point theorem shows that F has a fixed point $x \in B_k$, which means that the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1) has at least one mild solution on J . The proof is complete. \square

The following existence and uniqueness result for the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1) is based on Banach contraction principle. We will need the following assumption.

(H4) There exists a positive constant L_f such that for any $x, x^*, y, y^* \in C(J, B_k)$, we have

$$|f(t, x, x^*) - f(t, y, y^*)| \leq L_f (\|x - y\| + \|x^* - y^*\|),$$

for $t \in J$, where k is defined as in (7).

Theorem 3. *If assumptions (H2)–(H4) are satisfied, then the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1) has a unique mild solution provided that*

$$\left(ML + \frac{\alpha M L_f}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{b^\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) b^{\alpha+\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \right) \right) < 1. \tag{9}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $\int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q(t^\alpha \theta) (x_0 - g(x)) d\theta$ exists and

$$\int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) d\theta ds$$

Bochner’s integrable with respect to $s \in [0, t]$ for all $t \in J$. For $x \in B_k$, Consider the operator F on B_k which is given by (6).

Obviously, it is sufficient to proof that F has a unique fixed point on B_k .

According to (8), we know that F is an operator from B_k into itself. For any $x, y \in B_k$ and $t \in J$, according to (H3), (H4) and (2), we have

$$|(Fx)(t) - (Fy)(t)| \leq \left| \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q(t^\alpha \theta) (g(y) - g(x)) d\theta \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \alpha \left| \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t-s)^\alpha \theta) [f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) - f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s))] d\theta ds \right| \\
 & \leq ML \|x - y\| \\
 & + \frac{\alpha ML_f}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |x(s) - y(s)| ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \int_0^s \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| d\tau ds \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By changing the order of the second integral, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^t \int_0^s (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (s-\tau)^{\beta-1} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| d\tau ds & = \int_0^t \int_\tau^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (s-\tau)^{\beta-1} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| ds d\tau \\
 & = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha+\beta-1} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| d\tau \\
 & = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta-1} |x(s) - y(s)| ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Fx)(t) - (Fy)(t)| & \leq ML \|x - y\| \\
 & + \frac{\alpha ML_f}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta-1} ds \right) \|x - y\| \\
 & \leq ML \|x - y\| + \frac{\alpha ML_f}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{b^\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)b^{\alpha+\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \right) \|x - y\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|Fx - Fy\| \leq \left(ML + \frac{\alpha ML_f}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{b^\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)b^{\alpha+\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \right) \right) \|x - y\|.$$

which means that F is a contraction according to (9). By applying Banach contraction principle, we know that F has a unique fixed point on B_k . The proof is complete. \square

4. Mittag-Leffler-Ulam Stabilities

In this section, we consider the Mittag-Leffler-Ulam stability of the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1). Let ϵ be a positive real number and $\varphi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a continuous function. We consider the following inequalities

$$\left| {}^C D^\alpha y(t) - Ay(t) - f(t, y(t), I^\beta y(t)) \right| \leq \epsilon, \quad t \in J \tag{10}$$

$$\left| {}^C D^\alpha y(t) - Ay(t) - f(t, y(t), I^\beta y(t)) \right| \leq \varphi(t), \quad t \in J \tag{11}$$

$$\left| {}^C D^\alpha y(t) - Ay(t) - f(t, y(t), I^\beta y(t)) \right| \leq \epsilon \varphi(t), \quad t \in J \tag{12}$$

Definition 5. Eq. (1) is Mittag-Leffler-Ulam-Hyers stable, with respect to E_α if there exists a real number $c > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution $y \in C^1(J, E)$ of the inequality (10), there exists a mild solution $x \in C(J, E)$ of Eq. (1) with

$$|y(t) - x(t)| \leq c\epsilon E_\alpha[t], \quad t \in J.$$

Definition 6. Eq. (1) is generalized Mittag-Leffler-Ulam-Hyers stable, with respect to E_α if there exists $\theta \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\theta(0) = 0$ such that for each solution $y \in C^1(J, E)$ of the inequality (10), there exists a mild solution $x \in C(J, E)$ of Eq. (1) with

$$|y(t) - x(t)| \leq \theta(\epsilon)E_\alpha[t], \quad t \in J.$$

Definition 7. Eq. (1) is Mittag-Leffler-Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to φE_α if there exists $C_\varphi > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution $y \in C^1(J, E)$ of the inequality (12), there exists a mild solution $x \in C(J, E)$ of Eq. (1) with

$$|y(t) - x(t)| \leq C_\varphi \epsilon \varphi(t)E_\alpha[t], \quad t \in J.$$

Definition 8. Eq. (1) is generalized Mittag-Leffler-Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to φE_α if there exists $C_\varphi > 0$ such that for each solution $y \in C^1(J, E)$ of the inequality (11), there exists a mild solution $x \in C(J, E)$ of Eq. (1) with

$$|y(t) - x(t)| \leq C_\varphi \varphi(t)E_\alpha[t], \quad t \in J.$$

Remark 4. It is clear that: (i) Definition 5 \implies Definition 6; (ii) Definition 7 \implies Definition 8.

Remark 5. A function $y \in C^1(J, E)$ is a solution of the inequality (10) if and only if there exist a function $h \in C(J, E)$ (which depend on y) such that

$$(i) \quad |h(t)| \leq \epsilon, \quad t \in J,$$

$$(ii) \quad {}^C D^\alpha y(t) = Ay(t) + f(t, y(t), I^\beta y(t)) + h(t), \quad t \in J.$$

One can have similar remarks for the inequalities (11) and (12).

Remark 6. If $y \in C^1(J, E)$ is a solution of the inequality (10), then y is a solution of the following integral inequality

$$\left| y(t) - S(t)(y_0 - g(y)) - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) ds \right| \leq \frac{M b^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \epsilon.$$

We have similar remarks for the solutions of inequalities (11) and (12).

Theorem 4. If assumptions (H3) and (H4) are satisfied, then the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1) is Mittag-Leffler-Ulam-Hyers stable.

Proof. Let $y \in C^1(J, E)$ is a solution of the inequality (10). Let us denote by $x \in C(J, E)$ the unique mild solution of the nonlocal Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D^\alpha x(t) = Ax(t) + f(t, x(t), I^\beta x(t)), & t \in J \\ x(0) = y(0). \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

We have

$$x(t) = S(t)(y_0 - g(y)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) ds.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| y(t) - S(t)(y_0 - g(y)) - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) ds \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) h(s) ds \right| \\ & \leq \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t-s)^{\alpha-1} \theta) |h(s)| d\theta ds \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha M}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \epsilon \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\ & \leq \frac{M b^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From these relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t) - x(t)| &= \left| y(t) - S(t)(y_0 - g(y)) - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| y(t) - S(t)(y_0 - g(y)) - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) ds \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) [f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) - f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))] ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M b^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \epsilon \\ &\quad + \alpha \left| \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t-s)^{\alpha-1} \theta) [f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))] d\theta ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M b^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \epsilon + \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha M}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) - f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))| ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{Mb^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \epsilon + \frac{\alpha ML_f}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |x(s) - y(s)| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t \int_0^s (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (s-\tau)^{\beta-1} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| d\tau ds \right).$$

Using a similar manner of the second integral as in Proof of Theorem 3, we get

$$|y(t) - x(t)| \leq \frac{Mb^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \epsilon + \frac{\alpha ML_f}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |x(s) - y(s)| ds + \frac{ML_f}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta-1} |x(s) - y(s)| ds.$$

An application of Remark 2 and Remark 3 (with $b_1 = \frac{\alpha ML_f}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$, $b_2 = \frac{ML_f}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}$, $\beta_1 = \alpha$ and $\beta_2 = \alpha + \beta$) to the last inequality yields the desired estimation

$$|y(t) - x(t)| \leq \frac{Mb^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} (E_\alpha [ML_f t^\alpha] + E_{\alpha+\beta} [ML_f t^{\alpha+\beta}]) \epsilon.$$

Thus, the conclusion of our theorem hold. □

The following theorem gives generalized Mittag-Leffler-Ulam-Hyers stability.

Theorem 5. *If assumptions (H3) and (H4) are satisfied. Suppose there exist $\lambda > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s) ds \leq \lambda \varphi(t),$$

for all $t \in J$, where $\varphi \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$ is nondecreasing. Then the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1) is generalized Mittag-Leffler-Ulam-Hyers stable with respect to φE_α .

Proof. Let $y \in C^1(J, E)$ is a solution of the inequality (11). By Remark 5, we have for $t \in J$ that y is a solution of the following integral inequality

$$\left| y(t) - S(t)(y_0 - g(y)) - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) ds \right| \leq M \lambda \varphi(t).$$

Let us denote by $x \in C(J, E)$ the unique mild solution of the nonlocal Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D^\alpha x(t) = Ax(t) + f(t, x(t), I^\beta x(t)), & t \in J \\ x(0) = y(0). \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

We have

$$x(t) = S(t)(y_0 - g(y)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) ds.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| y(t) - S(t)(y_0 - g(y)) - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) ds \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) \varphi(s) ds \right| \\ & \leq \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t-s)^{\alpha-1} \theta) \varphi(s) d\theta ds \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha M}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s) ds \\ & \leq M\lambda \varphi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Again, from these relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t) - x(t)| &= \left| y(t) - S(t)(y_0 - g(y)) - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| y(t) - S(t)(y_0 - g(y)) - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) ds \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} T(t-s) [f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) - f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))] ds \right| \\ &\leq M\lambda \varphi(t) + \alpha \left| \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) Q((t-s)^{\alpha-1} \theta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times [f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) - f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))] d\theta ds \right| \\ &\leq M\lambda \varphi(t) + \frac{\alpha M}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, y(s), I^\beta y(s)) - f(s, x(s), I^\beta x(s))| ds \\ &\leq M\lambda \varphi(t) + \frac{\alpha M L_f}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |x(s) - y(s)| ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t \int_0^s (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (s-\tau)^{\beta-1} |x(\tau) - y(\tau)| d\tau ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using a similar manner of the second integral as in Proof of Theorem 3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t) - x(t)| &\leq \frac{M b^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \epsilon + \frac{\alpha M L_f}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |x(s) - y(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{M L_f}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta-1} |x(s) - y(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

According to Remark 2 and Remark 3, we obtain our required assertion

$$|y(t) - x(t)| \leq M\lambda \left(E_\alpha [ML_f t^\alpha] + E_{\alpha+\beta} [ML_f t^{\alpha+\beta}] \right) \varphi(t).$$

The conclusion of our theorem hold. \square

References

- [1] M. I. Abbas. *Existence for fractional order impulsive integrodifferential inclusions with nonlocal conditions*, International Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 6(37), 1813-1828. 2012.
- [2] M. I. Abbas. *On the existence of mild solutions for a class of fractional differential equations with nonlocal conditions in the α -norm*, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, 51(2), 141-154. 2014.
- [3] L. Cădariu. *Stabilitatea Ulam-Hyers-Bourgin pentru ecuatii functionale*, Ed. Univ. Vest Timișoara, Timișara, 2007.
- [4] M. M. El-Borai. *The fundamental solutions for fractional evolution equations of parabolic type*, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis, 3, 197-211. 2004.
- [5] M. M. El-Borai. *On some fractional evolution equations with nonlocal conditions*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 24, 405-413. 2005.
- [6] E. Hernández, D. O'Regan, and K. Balachandran. *On recent developments in the theory of abstract differential equations with fractional derivatives*, Nonlinear Analysis, 73, 3462-3471. 2010.
- [7] L. Hu, Y. Ren, and R. Sakthivel. *Existence and uniqueness of mild solutions for semilinear integro-differential equations of fractional order with nonlocal initial conditions and delays*, Semigroup Forum, 79, 507-514. 2009.
- [8] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and Th.M. Rassias. *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, 1998.
- [9] S. M. Jung. *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
- [10] A. A. Kilbas, Hari M. Srivastava, and J. Juan Trujillo. *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 204, Elsevier Science B.V, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [11] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela, and J. Vasundhara Devi. *Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems*, Cambridge Scientific Publishers, 2009.

- [12] H. Liu and J. C. Chang. *Existence for a class of partial differential equations with nonlocal conditions*, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 70, 3076-3083. 2009.
- [13] M. M. Meerschaert, D.A. Benson, H. Scheffler, and B. Baeumer, *Stochastic solution of space-time fractional diffusion equations*, *Physical Review E*, 65, 1103-1106. 2002.
- [14] K. S. Miller and B. Ross. *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential Equations*, John Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [15] I. Podlubny. *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [16] Shi-you Lin. *Generalized Gronwall inequalities and their applications to fractional differential equations*, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013, 549. 2013.
- [17] J. Wang, Y. Zhou, W. Wei, and H. Xu. *Nonlocal problems for fractional integrodifferential equations via fractional operators and optimal controls*, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 62, 1427-1441. 2011.
- [18] J. Wang, Y. Zhou. *Mittag-Leffler-Ulam stabilities of fractional evolution equations*, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 25, 723-728. 2012.
- [19] J. Wang and Yuruo Zhang. *Ulam-Hyers-Mittag-Leffler stability of fractional-order delay differential equations*, *Optimization*, 63(8), 1181-1190. 2014.
- [20] J. Wang and Y. Zhou. *A class of fractional evolution equations and optimal controls*, *Nonlinear Analysis RWA*, 12, 262-272. 2011.
- [21] E. Zeidler. *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Application II/A*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [22] Y. Zhou and F. Jiao. *Existence of mild solutions for fractional neutral evolution equations*, *Computer and Mathematics with Applications*, 59, 1063-1077. 2010.
- [23] Y. Zhou and F. Jiao. *Nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional evolution equations*, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 11, 4465-4475. 2010.
- [24] Y. Zhou and F. Jiao. *Existence of mild solutions for fractional neutral evolution equations*, *Computer and Mathematics with Applications*, 59, 1063-1077, 2010.